

identity

Magazine of the British National Party

Issue 103
Spring 2010
£4.95



a convenient scam


DEBUNKING GLOBAL WARMING

EXCALIBUR

NEW RANGE OF LONG AND SHORT SLEEVE T-SHIRTS AVAILABLE IN THE FOLLOWING DESIGNS:



English - St George



English - Alfred



Welsh - Owain



Scottish - Wallace



Magna Carta - Crusader



Immigration Eyes




Anglo-Saxon



British Hero -- Boudicca



British Hero -- Alfred



British Hero -- Lionheart



British Hero -- Nelson



British Hero -- Newton



British Hero -- Powell



Rivers of Blood

"As I look ahead, I am filled with foreboding. Like the Roman, I seem to see the River Tyber flowing with much blood."

"We must be mad, thereby mad, as a nation to be perpetrating the annual influx of some 50,000 immigrants, who are for the most part the material of the future growth of the ever-growing dissatisfied population. It is like watching a nation hurrying engaged in heading up its own funeral pyre."

"Only resolute and urgent action will avert it even now. Whether there will be the public will to demand and obtain that action, I do not know. All I know is that to see, and not to speak, would be the great tragedy."

— Enoch Powell, 20 April 1968

**Enoch Powell
"Rivers of Blood."**

Shirt is printed on both sides, with extract of Powell's famous speech on the reverse.
T-Shirt: £13.20 inc. p&p.
Longsleeve: £17.94 inc. p&p.

Short sleeve T-Shirt £12 each including p&p.

Longsleeve T-Shirt 16.74 each including p&p.

Sizes available: Small, Medium, Large, XL and XXL.

All T-shirts individually printed, please allow 14 days for delivery.

Cheques/Postal Orders payable to "Excalibur"

Order by mail from Excalibur, P.O. Box 158, Deeside, CH5 2WW

Online at <https://excalibur.bnp.org.uk>

Or by telephone at 0871 0500 242 *



* Calls cost 10p per minute from a BT landline



REGULARS

05 - Editorial

You Can't Defend the Indigenous British, says British Justice

06 - News in Brief

08 - News Commentary

Climate Change Guru's Dodgy Dealings

The Economy & Immigration

Cameron Tricks the Tories

Immigration Flood Continues

We Need More Grammar Schools

Why No Black Help for Haiti?

ARTICLES

14 - Deep Freeze and the Windmills Failed to Turn

By John Bean

16 - The Gathering Storm

By John Humphrey

18 - Creative Economics

By Lee Barnes

21 - Labour's War on Britain's Pubs

By George Fanning

22 - Paper Tiger

By Colin Lidell

26 - The Art of Persuasion

By Quentin Hawkins

30 - Tolkien's Warning


By Alastair Harper

32 - How Bankers Outdo Forgers

By Andrew Brons

33 - Art and Islam

By Phillip Smeeton



34 - Who is Nice, Who is Nasty?
By Tim Heydon

37 - Why the Media Lies About
Afghanistan
By Land and People

IDEOLOGY

38 - Debunking Global Warming

To mark the Copenhagen Climate Conference, December 2009, attended by both BNP MEPs, Nick Griffin and Andrew Brons, copies of an extensive report on global warming prepared by the BNP were made available to all delegates. The following extracts comprise the first three of the 29 section BNP report.

44 - The End of Globalisation

Alastair Harper contends that Globalisation, the translated economic idea of world free trade which has dominated the last two decades of our planet's history, is now following a process of disintegration that will leave no area of 21st century life unchanged, and to its detriment - not least small business and individual enterprise.

52 - The Curse of Overpopulation

Land and People's Clive Wakely discusses overpopulation in Britain and the effects on the country's natural resources and infrastructure. Immigration has a dramatic effect on our population and exerts pressure on our limited resources.

58 - Speakers' Corner
Readers' letters





You Can't Defend the Indigenous British, says British Justice

In the General Election of 2001, some eighteen months after Nick Griffin took over Chairmanship of the BNP, the party contested 33 seats. It averaged 3.9 per cent of the vote, with just five seats in excess of the 5 per cent needed to save the deposit. At the 2005 General Election we fielded 118 candidates and increased the average vote to 4.3 per cent, saving 34 deposits. Then in May 2008 the BNP's total number of councillors not only exceeded 100, but three of these were, for the first time, county councillors. In addition, we gained our first member on the London Assembly.

Despite increasing media hysteria in its attacks upon our party, the inevitable big breakthrough came with the election of Nick Griffin and Andrew Brons as MEPs in the 2008 Euro Elections.

As this issue goes to press it is likely that the BNP will be contesting around 200 seats throughout the United Kingdom at this General Election, i.e. nearly one in three of the Parliamentary total. This is the reason why the party has become the target for an onslaught without precedence in British politics. In its joint pursuit of global multiculturalism the Lib-Lab-Con has turned our country into a crime-ridden, bankrupt third-rate power. What frightens them is that on May 6th the BNP could make its next breakthrough; the election of its first MP.

The establishment media has been primed by the National Union of Journalists' "Expose the BNP" campaign, supported by New Labour hacks of the Peter Hain ilk, to publish only negative views on the BNP. The most serious attack, however, was that launched by the Guiana-born Trevor Phillips of the Equality and Human Rights Commission.

The ECHR, a government quango, has spent vast sums of taxpayers' money in an attempt to not only cripple the BNP

financially but to destroy it. Despite amending the constitution 12 times so that black and Asian people could now join, on March 12th Judge Paul Collins ruled that this was still an infringement of the Race Relations Act because it required members to oppose the promotion of any form of "integration or assimilation" that impacted on the "indigenous British", and a requirement to support the "maintenance and existence of the unity and integrity of the indigenous British."

The noble judge - who of course is completely unbiased - also ordered the BNP to pay costs. However, with Nick Griffin's decision to abide by the latest ruling the suspension on new membership was lifted immediately. With a log-jam of 7,000 membership applications to deal with, considerable new membership fees can be expected. Showing that the day's court proceedings could be described as a "score draw", Nick Griffin stated: "The bottom line is that the BNP's policies remain exactly as they were, particularly the demand for an end to mass immigration."

Did the hydra-headed Lib-Lab-Con establishment think this Equities Commission assault was going to frighten us, or starve us financially into submission? They do not know the courage of our leader, Nick Griffin. They do not know the tenacity of our active membership. And they have failed to recognise that the British public, with a reputation of defending underdogs, knows when somebody is being victimised.

John Bean

identity

Editor: John Bean
Design: Mark Collett
Issue 103 - Spring 2010

Public reference:

ISSN 1469-7971

Subscriptions Dept:
PO Box 17, Amlwch,
LL68 8AB

Tel: 0871 050 0238

subscriptions@bnp.org.uk

www.identitymagazine.org.uk

Articles should be submitted to the
addresses below in
electronic format:

PO Box 97, Newmarket,
Suffolk CB8 1WT.

Email: identity@bnp.org.uk

Cadbury Jobs go to Poland



Prior to its takeover of Cadbury, Kraft stated it would keep the Keynsham factory (employing 400) open. Within one month it announced that the plant would be closed and the production moved to Poland.

The independent Trade Union Solidarity (tel: 07794 486 858, e-mail: solidarity@aol.com) predicted before the takeover of the iconic British firm that it would inevitably lead to 'rationalisations'. Its General Secretary Patrick Harrington said: "The only way to prevent such activities is, in the short term, to prevent multinational companies exporting the capital released by shedding their national assets and, in the long term, to encourage greater worker participation in running and ultimately owning workplaces through co-operatives and employee share ownership."

BNP Challenge in Labour's Iconic Stronghold

To mention Jarrow brings up a vision of a Labour stronghold, not least as the starting point for the iconic Jarrow marchers in 1936. But that was under old Labour.

A Council by-election on February 25th confirms that New Labour is now being strongly challenged by the BNP in many of its former strongholds.

The result was:

Labour 854. BNP 566 (27.9%).
Independent 213. Independent 174.

White Male Judges Not Wanted

It has been confirmed by the Ministry of Justice (headed by Jack Straw) that white British males should be actively discriminated against when judges are selected. Women, ethnic minorities, homosexuals and disabled applicants must be given preferential treatment over white male candidates. Even where two candidates are equally qualified, preference must be given to the principle of "positive action."

According to the Judicial Diversity panel chairman, Baroness Neuberger, the anti-white male discrimination was necessary because it was wrong for members of the judiciary to be drawn from a "narrow and homogenous group".

As the Lib-Lab-Con establishment continue to tinker with our justice



system, which conveniently makes it easier to bring BNP activists before the bench on trivial charges, they are soon likely to have to convince, for example, a one-legged Nigerian lesbian judge - with an honours degree in law from Lagos - that opposition to the present incumbents of Parliament did not mean they are really trying to emulate Guy Fawkes.

Conservative 124. Lib Dem 100.

The turn out at 33% was high for a by-election in this area.

This follows on an earlier February Council by-election in a Labour held seat in Leicestershire where the BNP candidate gained 20% of the poll. The BNP had not previously contested the seat and conducted a leaflet only campaign.

Scots Nats Clear Benefit Fraudsters

Alex Salmond backed his deputy leader of the Scottish Nationalist Party "110 per cent" after she wrote a letter of support to Abdul Rauf, a constituent in her Glasgow Govan seat who could be jailed for benefit fraud of £80,000 from the Dept for Work & Pensions.

This could be one of the reasons why some Glasgow voters are reported as saying: "At the General Election you

have two choices. Vote BNP or buy a prayer mat."

Welsh Protestors Blockade Power Station



In late February more than 200 protestors blocked access to a new power station being built in Pembroke by energy company RWE. The protestors, organised by a Facebook group called "Give the British workers jobs first", claimed that the initial jobs will go to workers from other EU countries.

Alstom, the French engineering

contractor building the power station, said that most of the site's 373 non-management workers were UK residents. However, the protestors made the relevant point that Alstom's figures were misleading as they were based on postcodes, not nationalities.

Muslim Peer Wants Check on Immigration



Lord Ahmed of Rotherham, who was Britain's first Muslim peer, has called on the Government to keep a tight rein on immigration and warned that rising migrant numbers are damaging race relations.

His reason is not because he wishes to join the BNP under its amended constitution, but because he has accused ministers of "neglecting the white working class." He added: "As a result you have people looking towards the BNP."

EU Has Caused UK Wages Drop



The expansion of the European Union has definitely caused wages to drop in Britain, says a new official report by the Migration Policy

Institute. It warns that "Poorer parts of the country may become locked in a vicious circle where the only jobs which are created are low-skilled and likely to be taken by migrants."

It adds that some Eastern Europeans will downgrade their "occupational status" in order to get a job in Britain. This means that professional people who should be working at a far higher level take any job in Britain simply because it pays better than what they can earn at their true educational level back in their home countries.

The result is that many British workers who are not qualified are easily pushed out of the job market because they cannot compete against more highly skilled foreigners.

'Squatters Rights'

A little-known rule introduced by the Labour government in 2003 allows illegal immigrants to claim "indefinite leave to remain" if they manage to live in Britain's black economy for long enough or who manage to avoid deportation.

Migrationwatch UK believes the number doing this could be as high as one million.

After 14 years they can apply to the Home Office which considers factors such as "compassionate circumstances, strength of connection to the UK, previous criminal record", before deciding whether they will be allowed to stay.

Giant Halal Slaughterhouse For South Wales



The man behind the development of an Islamic stock exchange hopes to cash in on the Government's tax breaks by opening a £150m, 100 acre 'super halal industrial park' in South Wales. Halal slaughter is the ritual killing of fully conscious animals.

Director Mahesh Jayanarayan said that the plans depend upon taxpayers' money, using the euphemism 'government grants'. The scheme is promising to generate 3000 jobs for the

Muslim and non-Muslim community. However, the director added that they had chosen Britain and specifically South Wales because of its local Muslim colonies. Just 18 months earlier the Government announced plans to import 5000 halal butchers from Pakistan.

"There are a lot of Muslims in Britain, and in Wales, which is important from our point of view. The industrial park will fit in very well in Wales," said Jayanarayan.

Climate Change Guru's Dodgy Dealings



"...The Labour-sponsored Stern report is again thin on scientific evidence of global warming but strong on New Labour's plans to tax us even more whilst kidding us that it is a green policy leading to global salvation."

If readers are thinking that the BNP and this magazine are just jumping on the now fashionable band wagon of daring to criticise the doubtful science of the global warmists, we were doing this five years ago - when the only commentators of note making criticisms were Christopher Booker and Dr Richard North. How about this which we wrote in *Identity* in 2005:

"On the issue of global warming... scientists were far outnumbered by politicians on the prosecution bench who were using the issue to make people more amenable to the globalists 'one-world' belief. The Labour-sponsored Stern report is again thin on scientific evidence of global warming but strong on New Labour's plans to tax us even more whilst kidding us that it is a green policy leading to global salvation."

Moving up to date, early this year Christopher Booker in the Sunday Telegraph revealed the extensive business interests that had been built up by Dr Rajendra Pachauri who, as chairman since 2002 of the UN's Inter-governmental Panel (IPCC) on Climate Change, is the world's "top climate official". He has no scientific qualifications but is a former railway engineer in his native India. Booker's report revealed that Dr Pachauri holds more than a score of positions with banks, universities and other institutions that benefit from the vast worldwide climate change industry, and all picking up 'nice little earners'.

A Tata subsidiary could also receive \$560 million in 'carbon credits' under the CDM scheme for the construction of the world's largest coal-fired power station in Gujarat. Additionally, nearly \$1 billion is being supplied in cheap "green loans" by the World Bank and the Asia Development Bank (to both of which Dr Pachauri acts as an adviser).

Closer to home, when Tata was allowed to close down its Corus (formerly British Steel) steelworks at Redcar in January it made around £600 million in 'credits' from the carbon emissions this will save. What is more outrageous - apart from not hearing one word of criticism from the Tory and Lib-Dem opposition - is that 1,700 British jobs were lost on Teesside so that in India the same £600 million in UN CDM 'credits' could be received by Tata for building a steelworks of similar capacity in Orissa.

Thus at the expense of 1,700 British workers losing their jobs, Tata stand to gain £1.2 billion (with Dr Pachauri picking up another 'little earner'), for no overall reduction in the amount of CO₂ emitted to the atmosphere world-wide.

There is growing dissatisfaction with the role played by Dr P, both at IPCC and Teri. As we go to press the Indian government has said that they wish to see him replaced as head of Teri. If he has been sacked from either body, or both, by the time this issue is published, no doubt he will still find ways to make a 'nice little earner'.

JB

The Economy & Immigration



"We can cut public spending and cut taxes and improve public services without spending a penny more by deporting the million illegal immigrants"

As the politically controlled media still finds it useful to portray the BNP as a two-trick pony - stop immigration and quit the EU, it is understandable that some voters think we are oblivious to the economic crisis that will face the new Government in trying to tackle a national debt of over £1 trillion. The Government does not have a secret well full of money; it is the taxpayers' money. And by the Tory/Labour policies of bailing out the bankers but refusing to bail out British manufacturing industry, every British man, woman or child now owes more than £14,000, which will have to be paid to those investors who bought the UK's bonds - known as gilts.

Apart from Labour's inefficient handling of the nation's economy - and remember it was Brown who sold off more than half of our gold reserves at a quarter of their value today, the BNP has consistently opposed the growth of globalisation which is the main cause of the present depression and given unequal and unfair treatment with bonuses for bankers and a P45 for workers.

With the national debt that has been forced upon us we must support those measures in particular that will cut public spending. Whilst this does not mean that we should cut present council workers jobs or those of civil servants, it does mean that the number must be reduced by natural wastage.

Lee Barnes (a legal advisor to the BNP) has circulated a number of

suggestions for our election policies. He rightfully suggests that we should not downplay our position on immigration and says: "We can cut public spending and cut taxes and improve public services without spending a penny more by deporting the million illegal immigrants in the country and the 450,000 bogus asylum seekers whose files have not yet been processed or who have been given leave to remain due to incompetence in the government."

Lee fully supports the BNP belief of supporting our troops and bringing them home from Afghanistan. He suggests: "... we deploy them to search for and assist in the deportation of all illegals in the country, as a way to ensure our national security is protected and our national borders protected."

Another point we should consider is that we issue every British citizen with a new National Insurance number to ensure all illegal workers cannot be employed here by using the millions of false National Insurance numbers in circulation.

Finally, on the campaign front there is no point in BNP activists dissipating mental energy by fuming over the intervention of minority parties (including UKIP) in seats where the BNP has a more than good chance of a victory. This happens in democratic politics, whether or not it is true that Searchlight is funding some so-called Far Right candidates. Remember: every healthy dog has its fleas.

JB

Cameron Tricks the Tories



"... what Cameron has achieved by the back door is for non-European immigrants to stand in considerable numbers in winnable seats..."

What a choice facing those voters who aim to stick with one of the nodding dogs that represent the old triumvirate. New Labour has been exposed as deliberately encouraging mass immigration to build up a bank of future voters; Cameronite Conservatives must give priority to ethnics, women and gays when choosing their candidates; and the dear Lib Dems wish they had done all these things first.

It is the duplicity of David Cameron, aided by such acolytes as Michael Gove and Dean Godson, a director of the Tory's Policy Exchange think tank, in tricking faithful Conservatives that concerns us here.

The BNP shares the view of most Conservative voters in giving full support to women candidates who are capable of representing them as an MP. Contrary to the media lie that the BNP is somehow 'anti-women' we are keen that our most competent women members should become Parliamentary candidates. But this is quite different to forcing local party associations to only adopt women candidates, irrespective of their abilities, only to get the numbers up.

The same can be said of gays as Tory candidates, although it is unlikely a gay person would stand as a BNP candidate in that we would not expect him or her to use a BNP platform to push the view that homosexuality is completely comparable to heterosexuality, when it is not. Otherwise his or her sexuality is their own, private affair. It should be noted

that in an interview with the homosexual magazine 'Attitude' Cameron said that homosexual immigrants should be allowed to stay in Britain if their life was in danger - obviously a reference to Africa where homosexuality is illegal and even punishable by death. It can be assumed that this would lead to a further increase in AIDS cases in the UK.

We can understand the Tory Party selecting a non-European origin candidate born in this country, but what Cameron has achieved by the back door is for non-European immigrants to stand in considerable numbers in winnable seats both at local and Parliamentary level.

All the above appeared in a document entitled Action Plan For Candidate Selection in Safe Seats drawn up in secret by Gove and Godson. It says that "stealth" will have to be used to bring in ethnic candidates and stresses the importance of keeping "quiet" over the plans to actively discriminate against white males. "Like a conjuror, we'll get more applause if the audience cannot see exactly how the trick is performed", the document says.

Looking at this long-term it would appear that Cameron's trickery was deliberately planned to get its grassroots activists into accepting ethnic candidates as part of a plan to force the Tories to mongrelise into a party dominated by Third World immigrants, and thus win those over who now support Labour or the Lib Dems.

Immigration Flood Continues



“...mass immigration was the way the Government was going to make Britain “truly multicultural”. The grateful immigrants would also vote overwhelmingly for Labour...”

Looking at the latest figures from the Office of National Statistics (ONS), immigration for last year alone has now exceeded half a million. So much for Labour's electioneering-inspired claim that it is being reduced.

The point is that we must not let the people forget that former Labour adviser Andrew Leather has revealed what the BNP always suspected: The Labour Government in 2001 decided to purposely open up Britain's borders as a “driving political purpose”. That purpose, he admitted, was that mass immigration was the way the Government was going to make Britain “truly multicultural”. The grateful immigrants would also vote overwhelmingly for Labour, thus keeping them in power.

Neither must we forget that at this election the 'multiracial Tory Party' is only committed to “bringing in more controls over immigration”. The Lib Dems seem happy with the status quo. UKIP has supported a view put forward by some individual Tories that we should allow into the country the same number of people that depart these shores. With complete disregard for the higher birth rate among the immigrant population - and Muslims in particular - this still means that the indigenous Britons will become a minority within 30 years.

However, back to the latest immigration figures. The ONS figures show that in 2009 203,865 people were granted British citizenship, which was 58

per cent more than the previous year. Additionally, more than 190,000 were given the right to settle.

Also, the non-EU 'student' visa numbers again increased to a total of 250,000. It has been widely reported in the media, including by David Metcalf, chairman of the Migration Advisory Committee, that the student visa system is frequently abused, with up to 600 lower tier colleges either offering 'Mickey Mouse' degrees or none at all. Apart from the one in eight 'students' who were completely bogus, the Government has allowed those who have gained their degrees to stay on in employment.

Labour has repeatedly told us that many East European workers are now going home. An investigative report by Tom Whitehead in the *Daily Telegraph*, 17.02.10 said, however, that officials in some towns and cities insist migrants are still arriving. This is certainly the case in Boston, Lincs, Peterborough and Slough, which have all seen a large recent influx of migrants.

Officials in Boston claim there are 75,000 people living in the town, compared with an official estimate of 62,000; Peterborough's officials say they have 184,000 people, not 164,000; and officials in Slough claim it has up to 140,000 people, rather than the official 124,000.

Carol Clare, head of Boston's Park Community primary school, said about half her pupils were from overseas and this would rise to 60 per cent.

We Need More Grammar Schools



"It is thus understandable that our educationalists have done their utmost to remove the teaching of our national history in schools, but with a weekly hour devoted to slavery..."

From primary schools through to universities the quality of Britain's educational system is now a disgrace. From a position in the 80s of fourth or fifth in international league tables, it is now among the lowest in Europe and less than 20th in the world.

The causes are many and not all can just be laid on Labour's doorstep. Prime amongst them are lack of discipline - starting in the home; the dominance of egalitarianism whereby all must win prizes; too many incompetent teachers among those who are doing their best; multiculturalism in primary schools in particular where teachers can find that half their pupils are unable to understand a word of English; and the dominance of left wing teachers who consider that their main mission is to socially engineer their pupils for a future socialist republic.

It is thus understandable that our educationalists have done their utmost to remove the teaching of our national history in schools, but with a weekly hour devoted to slavery and the rise and fall of Adolph Hitler. Now, history courses are even being reduced, or condensed, in our universities.

A problem that I have commented upon in *Identity* on several occasions is on boys failing more than girls, particularly in secondary education. A view that I have expressed is that teaching itself has become more 'feminine' orientated and that the different requirements of boys and girls was never taken into account. An

example is that girls excelled over boys in coursework which gave equal results as the examination itself at GCSE level.

Last year, for the first time in 10 years boys out-performed girls in mathematics GCSE after coursework was abolished.

As a former grammar school boy it is understandable that I approve of the BNP's support for grammar schools (and also support for technical colleges), which are open to all who show the ability to benefit from its education system. Our party's view is that the main reason why the Cameronite Tory Party will do nothing to support the grammars is that Cameron and his chums are all products of independent schools, such as Eton, where a reasonable education can be *bought*..

Nevertheless, we must acknowledge the good sense of Eton's present Head Master, Tony Little, who this January said that boys are being failed by the education system because it has become too focussed on girls. Boys, he believes, require a more physical and active style of learning. He said that an increased verbal element of GCSEs favoured girls over boys and the educational techniques had become skewed because of the male-dominated society of the past.

"It's assumed that opening up opportunity means giving a better deal to girls and women. I don't decry that in the slightest. (*Nor does the BNP*) But there's a point at which that agenda has been at the expense of recognising what's happening in boys and education."

JB

Why No Black Help for Haiti?



"With over £60 million in contributions from Britain... it was rather remarkable that we saw nothing in the media of any aid to Haiti from Africa."

I am sure that I am not the only BNP member who made a small donation towards immediate help for the people who survived the terrible devastation of the Haiti earthquake. In this particular instance I thought there was less chance that my couple of quid would disappear into a Swiss bank account or join other donations wandering into a Mercedes showroom - as happens to so much of Western contributions to Live Aid, Sport Aid and the like.

With over £60 million in contributions from Britain, including from young children giving up pocket money and getting sponsors for cash-raising events, it was rather remarkable that we saw nothing in the media of any aid to Haiti from Africa.

This point was the subject of a thought-provoking article by a black journalist who writes under the name 'Loose Canon' for the *Sunday Standard*, a newspaper in Botswana. Here are some of his main points in his article of 24.01.10.

"From the non-stop television coverage of the earthquake, I got to learn about the history of Haiti. It was not a good history lesson. It would seem throughout its existence Haiti has suffered a series of natural calamities. In the process it has sunk even deeper into poverty and deprivation.

"Like all places populated by black people, Haiti is poor. As I watched the television images, I felt very sorry for that forsaken place.

"Then I was hit by a thunderbolt. I wondered what if there were no white people. You see, when the earthquake hit Haiti somebody had to come to its assistance. There had to be a rescue effort. The Haitians who survived of course did their fair bit by digging out their families from the collapsed ramshackle buildings.

"The aeroplanes that set off carrying water and food were from white countries. Not only that, the teams of volunteer doctors that I saw on television comprised white people from across the world. As the sniffer dogs went into action, the organized rescue teams that carried the stretchers were made up of white people.

"My point is that ever since Haiti was hit by the earthquake I have not seen any of my folks from Africa (as rescue teams). Nor did I see any sniffer dogs from down here.

"You see, for far too long black countries have been insolent to the point of being abusive. They have a tendency of insulting the white man and telling him to keep out of their countries. In fact, black people have the temerity to tell white people they can perfectly survive on their own.

"From now onwards, I want black leaders to shut up and never accuse ever again, white people of being bad. I am sick and tired of big words such as imperialism and neo-colonialism which are unable to rescue victims of the earthquake."

JB

Deep Freeze and the Windmills Failed to Turn

By John Bean



The ever expanding EU regulations will cost the British taxpayer at least £184 billion over the next 10 years (this is in addition to our £6.4 billion annual net contribution). According to 'Europe Open' the second most costly regulation is the Climate Change Act which will soon add £130 to £200 a year to the annual energy bill for a typical UK family. Much of this is going into the installation of costly windmills as a power source.

None of the above costs will be reduced, let alone eliminated, by the Lib Lab Con parties despite the enormous black hole of national debt which has to be tackled by any incoming new government - and if it is headed by Cameron it will also continue with an *increased* total overseas aid programme costing in excess of £10 billion.

The effectiveness of what the old discredited parties are offering to tackle the gigantic debt that their globalist, multiculturally-obsessed policies produced can be equated with the value of the power generated by our expensive national array of windmills. For ten days of the January deep freeze when temperatures were at their lowest, not one windmill blade turned from the Suffolk coast to the Scottish highlands.

The problem was that when a high pressure area settles over Britain in the winter it gives two weather effects. Very cold temperatures and low wind speeds. And as they were below 7.5 mph, the windmills did not work.

Yet Brown - fully backed by the Tories and the politically pointless Lib Dems - has committed us to a £200 billion dash for wind. Power companies have been awarded contracts to build 6,400 wind turbines off the British coast. Not one turbine has been built in Britain so far - they are either imported from Germany or Denmark. As for the much-

heralded "extra British jobs" this will bring, the only major source will be the redundant fishermen whose jobs have been taken by the French and Spanish and for the future can crew the service boats for the offshore wind farms.

If all these proposed 6,400 wind turbines were installed by 2020, which at present engineering capabilities is an impossible target, then as long as the wind blew at the right speed the peak capacity would provide 25 per cent of our power needs. The variability of the wind being what it is means that there must always be a conventional power source back-up, whether it be coal, gas, oil or nuclear power.

EU SUPER-GRID

But the EU has already said that we must close our coal-fired power stations in six years and that they will not give exception to the proposed clean coal operated power stations. Led by the French and German owned companies, the UK power companies have now said they will require £100 billion investment to build a super-grid connected to Europe to guarantee a steady power supply.

Having led the way five years ago in pointing out that we had reached "peak oil", the BNP supports development of alternative energy sources, which includes wave power, nuclear power, solar energy panels and, as we still have millions of tonnes of coal deposits, effective clean-coal operated power stations. We recognise that there is a definite place for small wind turbines which have been proven as an effective back-up source for power generation for individual homes, farms and small manufacturing units. But it is the fact that they will always need back-up that makes them a financial disaster on the mass scale envisaged by the Lib Lab Con.

Last year was a turning point for the British National Party as Nick Griffin and Andrew Brons were duly elected as Members of the European Parliament. The party's numbers have swelled dramatically, the BNP's manifesto is now regarded as main stream, as the Liberal, Labour and Conservative parties try belatedly to emulate some of these policies in order to counter the BNP's successes. It can be said that imitation is the most sincere form of flattery but the Lib/Lab/Con political machines seek neither to flatter nor become miraculously sincere. What is certainly becoming apparent is that the patience of the indigenous British people

is being tested by the failed policies of a government that Winston Churchill's words of 1936 aptly describe:

"So they, the Government, go on in a strange paradox, decided only to be undecided, resolved to be irresolute, adamant for drift, solid for fluidity, all powerful to be impotent".

As in 1936, there is a gathering storm that threatens Britain, no longer from the perceived military might of the Nazis but from the insidious Islamic fundamentalist threat within the British Isles itself. As an island nation race situated at the junction of the tempestuous Atlantic Ocean and treacherous North Sea, the British are acutely aware of signs that a storm is

approaching. Dark clouds appearing on the horizon and the winds of change signal an onslaught that warns the populace to hunker down. In this case the gathering storm has not been forecast by the British Meteorological Office but by the predictions of the BNP who in concert with their patriotic supporters become modern day truth tellers with facts that are attacked, ignored or censored on a daily basis by the mainstream media.

The British people are a fair minded bunch who would argue the hind legs off a donkey to make a point. The Oxford Debates and Parliamentary Question Time are a testament to the desire of

The Gathering Storm

John Humphrey's 'Thoughts From America'.

Britons to listen to all points of view, no matter how absurd or dangerous is the perception. But fair and balanced debates about the threats to the indigenous British race are rare in a politically correct world.

This was evident in October's 'discussion' on BBC TV where Nick Griffin was treated in a way that could be described as a resurrection of the techniques of a Stalin political show trial. But the BBC stunt backfired and BNP support escalated as the Brits saw through the charade.

There is a growing awareness in Britain that the Islamic fundamentalist threat is aimed at the destruction of 'all

things British' including an ancient heritage that embraces freedom and liberty. Compromised, these symbols of the British way of life would be replaced by a maniacal and twisted form of radical Islam where religious fanaticism rules and hatred prevails. But through the leafy branches of the mighty oaks, across the hills and dales of this green and pleasant land, this sceptred isle, this precious stone set in a silver sea, a Churchillian voice whispers:

"You ask, 'What is our policy?' I will say; 'It is to wage war with all our might and with all the strength that God can give us: to wage war against a monstrous tyranny, never surpassed in the dark

lamentable catalogue of human crime. That is our policy.'" You ask, What is our aim? I can answer with one word: Victoryvictory at all costs, victory in spite of all terror, victory however long and hard the road may be; for without victory there is no survival."

A refreshed John Bull has awoken from his slumbers; he listens to the wind gathering pace as the storm approaches. The time is near, 2010 is the moment and the second Battle of Britain begins. Then as before, so much will be owed by so many to so few. The few who stood up to be counted. The few who passed the torch of freedom. The few who weathered the gathering storm.

Creative Economics - an Answer to Globalisation

Lee Barnes gives a personal view that the value of work should not be judged solely by its immediate profitability but more for its social, national economic and environmental effects.



A recent report by the New Economics Foundation called 'A Bit Rich' could offer the BNP a valuable template for the social model we wish to create once we get into government.

The report rated the value produced by different jobs based on how much they help or hinder society through the social, economic and environmental effects of the work, rather than simply the amount of money they earned for themselves.

In effect the report states that the existing social model which classifies the value of work is based on false assumptions. The idea of the Social Value of Work has been minimised in favour of the ideological worth of certain

jobs. Whilst the Minimum Wage has been imposed to ensure a subsistence level of existence for most workers, there has been no Maximum Wage cap on those jobs that produce little or no value for our society.

For decades the prevailing orthodoxy, and one wholeheartedly embraced by Tony Blair, Gordon Brown and New Labour in general, was Thatcherite Economic Neo-Liberalism predicated upon the supremacy of the free market, the validity of Trickle-down Theory (where the rich getting richer benefits the poor), the globalisation of national markets and the free movement of capital and workers.

Thatcherism stripped away the British national manufacturing base, which produced goods that could be sold, and replaced it with the banking and services industry which did not produce wealth for the benefit of the mass of the British people.

Since Thatcher and during the Blair/Brown years poverty has increased as has the gap between the rich and the poor, resulting in a society where the bankers get paid billions in government welfare cheques but the poor have their welfare benefits and public services cut.

Over the past decade the ratio between the average rate of pay for chief executives and the average earnings of employees has risen from 47:1 to 128:1. Executive pay has risen by 295 per cent in this period, compared with a rise of 44 per cent for employees.

SHARE THE WEALTH

Whilst British workers, who undertake the productive labour have been denied a fair share of the profits on their labour, the executives who manage the workers have benefited directly from the success of their workers. This is no way to run a

company nor a national economy. In order for society to benefit from increases in economic production then the workers must benefit from the products of their labour as well as the bosses.

The wealth that is generated must be shared and Trickle-down Theory and the Neo-Liberal Free Market Theory have both failed to do that.

A British Nationalist government will use the power of the State to enact legislation that directly benefits British workers. The Cameron Conservatives on the other hand want to continue the 'withering away of the State' and transform our society into a 'Charityocracy' where tax payers money is used to fund charities who then build new workhouses and charity housing for people to go to and beg from when they are in trouble. We as British Nationalists find this idea repugnant.

The role of the British state in the 21st century is to claim back the powers that have been devolved to supra-national bodies such as the EU and WTO and to start to pass new laws that are targeted and designed to benefit British workers directly.

It has been the surrender of the powers of the British state to globalism, corporations and supra-national bodies that has caused the economic and social crisis we are gripped by today, and the only answer to this crisis is to re-empower the British state to work once again in the interests of Britain, British companies and the British people.

Under Thatcherism and Blairism the economic system has been predicated upon falsehoods in relation to the value of different types of work: for instance banking. In the banking system a massive amount of wealth is earned by a tiny amount of people, e.g. in bonuses, but the actual productive value of the work of a banker is in reality negative. The research

for the New Economic Foundation reveals that for bankers who earn between half a million pounds a year and ten million pounds a year, for every £1 in value they produce they actually consume and destroy £7.

Advertising executives who earn between £50,000 and twelve million pounds per year destroy £11.50 worth of value for every pound they create.

Tax accountants who earn between £75,000 per year and £200,000 per year destroy £47 in value for every pound they earn.

Compare this to child care workers who earn between £10,000 and £13,000 per year who produce between £7 and £9.50 for every pound they earn. The value of a child care worker is that they free up other people to go to work and produce value for the national economy.

Hospital cleaners who earn £6.20 per hour produce in value £10 for every pound they earn. The value of a hospital cleaner is that they prevent infections in hospital which add to the expenses of treatment for patients and prevent patients falling ill with infections and thereby allow them to return to work quickly and produce wealth and value for the national economy.

Waste recycling workers who earn £6.10 per hour produce in real value £12 for every pound they earn. The value of a recycling worker is that they reduce waste, assist in protecting resources and create real value out of what would otherwise be waste.

TRICKLEDOWN IS FALSE

The whole theory of Trickle-down Economics was false and as a result of successive British governments embracing this dysfunctional system of free market economics, this has created the economic crisis we see today,

increasing levels of poverty, waste, inefficiency and damage to the British national economy.

The report reveals that a recent breakdown of the taxpaying population in the UK established that the top 10 per cent of adults, those on incomes of £36,000 per year or more, take home a disproportionate 40 per cent of UK income. This leaves only 60 per cent of the pot for 90 per cent of the adult working population to share.

Whilst successive governments have indulged in endless social engineering attempts in relation to race and religion in order to assist ethnic minority groups integrate into British society, those same governments have remained utterly silent and impassive on enacting legislation to assist British workers in obtaining

economic fairness and justice in their own country.

The report from the New Economic Foundation calls for a high pay commission to recommend a maximum national pay differential and the introduction of a transaction tax to reduce high risk and unsustainable trading. These of course are just a start.

CREATIVE ECONOMY

What we must do is create what I define as a 'Creative Economy' as opposed to the 'Destructive Economy' we have now.

The Destructive Economy is predicated on a society which rewards those jobs that create the most societal, environmental, cultural and economic damage and that also rewards those

individuals and corporations who generate profits at the expense of society and the environment.

The Creative Economy is one that rewards those jobs that produce the most social, economic, cultural and environmental benefits for the British nation and British people and that assists in creating a sustainable economy and environment.

For instance we would use a High Pay Commission to establish wage level and bonus limits on bankers and at the same time increase wages for workers such as hospital cleaners in the NHS.

We would also include in our calculations the issue of the promotion of British Culture as an aspect for the British National Economy and encourage the formation of groups, jobs and individuals who promote British culture, for instance a travelling actors group who perform the plays of Shakespeare and Chaucer at schools.

We would reward all those productive jobs in the British National Economy that produces actual commodities and goods, such as increasing the wages of agricultural workers, at the same time as taxing imports of agricultural goods in order to create a national agricultural system that supplies our own national needs rather than the present system of airlifting in runner beans from Kenya.

Jobs that produce value, such as workers in factories, would gain higher wages whilst those that destroy wealth would have their wages cut.

The opportunity this new system of calculating value in relation to society, environment, culture and economics allows us to establish a whole new social model for British society that will ultimately reward the most productive sectors of British society.

It is the foundation for a truly British Nationalist Revolution.





Labour's War on the British Pub

George Fanning makes a plea to save the British pub

Shocking new statistics have revealed the accelerating pace of pub closures in Labour Britain.

In 2005, an average of two or three pubs closed every week. That weekly toll has now risen to 39. According to the Campaign for Real Ale, 2,000 pubs have closed during the past 12 months with the loss of 20,000 jobs. Indeed, the boarded-up and derelict public house is rapidly becoming a characteristic hallmark of Labour Britain. *(And now Labour's latest plan is to make smoking illegal even outside in the beer garden Editor)*

Over the past 12 years, Labour has introduced successive legislative changes which have steadily undermined the economic viability of traditional pubs. The impact of the smoking ban on public houses has been well publicised, but Labour's anti-pub measures have included increases in excise duties on beer, and an avalanche of petty regulations which mean, for example, that country pubs must process their own sewage and any pub with more than four bar staff must now offer a pension scheme.

At best, Labour has been

extraordinarily reckless about the deleterious effect of these petty regulations on the pub industry. At worst, there are dark suspicions that the wholesale destruction of British pubs is the intended policy outcome of Labour's social engineering ambitions.

The traditional public house is the fulcrum of many traditional white working-class communities, and still provides a social focus in many English rural villages which have already lost their local shops and post offices.

Labour politicians often obsess about the need for "social cohesion", but in fact they have no political interest in ensuring the persistence of cohesive indigenous communities. Atomised and fragmented groups are far less likely than resilient communities to offer organised political resistance to Labour's malign purpose. It is not by chance that most BNP meetings take place in the function rooms of local community pubs, for public houses are often the only large public meeting spaces which are not susceptible to political control and interference. Labour would much prefer that you stay at home, absorbing a diet of unrelenting televisual

dross and broadcast multi-cultural propaganda, rather than discuss the issues of the day over a pint at your local.

The pub is a powerful symbol of the British national identity which is an anathema to Labour apparatchiks and their Establishment cronies. From Stow-on-the-Wold to Sunderland, the public house is a shared icon of Britishness which is roundly despised by those who loathe every facet of our national heritage. That is why the petrol-bombers of Oldham and Burnley targeted local pubs during the 2001 race riots.

As Labour and the Establishment have set their faces against every British cultural icon and institution, so the BNP has adopted them as symbols of defiance. British Nationalists should now uphold and defend the British pub as we have defended the Union Flag.

So why not strike a blow for British freedom: go down to your local and order a pint with pride.

Note: We publish this article because the BNP is committed to freedom of choice. John Bean, Mark Collett, Nick Griffin, Simon Darby and most other senior members are non-smokers.

Paper Tiger - The Myth of Racial Transcendence

Colin Liddell says the Tiger Woods sex scandal also reveals the shortcomings and contradictions of multiculturalism

The Tiger Woods multiple sex scandal that grabbed the headlines late last year has now died down. Attempts have been made to limit the damage, with stories leaked to the media about the golfer seeking medical help for what many would consider to be a moral failing and rumours of a Tiger "comeback." After all that has transpired, it will certainly be an uphill struggle to re-launch the golfer's shattered career and image.

While the lurid details of this scandal only held a fleeting interest for the general public, this case is worthy of more serious consideration from ethno-nationalists because it reveals a great deal about the shortcomings and contradictions of the multicultural globalism that we are opposed to.

The essential point that the Woods case proves is that multiracial societies generate, exacerbate, and feed off racism in a variety of strange and exotic ways that we should be aware of. In fact, it could even be said that racism is the glue that holds these unnatural societies together, a racism that, as the BNP slogan so succinctly puts it, cuts both ways, blighting the lives and identities of all those it touches, whether Black, White, or as Woods likes to style himself Cablinasian (a syllabic abbreviation from Caucasian, Black, Indian, and Asian).

But talking about racism may seem surprising, as we are not discussing

brutal, interethnic violence committed by White thugs or Black Panther terrorists, but merely an innocuous golfer with too much money and a case of elevator trousers. But racism, as we well know, doesn't always come with a machete, bomb, or burning cross in its hand. It can be found in much less dramatic, but also more pervasive and corrosive forms.

WOODS THE VICTIM

In the case of Tiger Woods, his whole career is testament to the two-way racism that stems not from hate-filled individuals but from the contradictions of the multiracial state itself. While this racism tends to lack conscious perpetrators, it nevertheless has its victims, both Black and White, of whom Woods surprisingly is one.

Dealing first with the anti-White racism in the Woods case, this is comparatively simple. His whole career, from the way he has been hyped and lavished with every kind of praise and sponsorship to the way the mainstream media refused to investigate rumours of his infidelities until they were impossible to ignore, reveals America's and global multiculturalism's strong anti-White double standard.

Although Woods is a talented sportsman and may even be the best natural golfer of the modern period, golf is not a major sport but rather a middle-

ranking one. Despite this, through massive sponsorship deals Woods has been the highest paid sportsman in the world for over a decade, while other great sportsmen in much more popular sports have lagged behind.

Part of the reason for this is because Woods was breaking into a largely White-dominated sport. While a non-White breaking into a white sport is lionized and set up as a highly-sponsored poster boy by the global sports media, the same is not true in reverse. When the Ukrainian boxers Vitali (overleaf) and Vladimir Klitschko re-established a short period of White dominance of heavy-weight boxing around the same time as Wood's rise, the sponsors and media frenzy were noticeably absent. There is no word other than racism for this kind of racially-determined double standard.

The same double standard was in evidence again when the Woods scandal finally broke. The key characteristic of the scandal was the way it mimicked a dam breaking. Instead of just one woman being involved, we soon heard of over a dozen all within the space of a few days. A dam breaking like this of course implies that there had in fact been some significant power holding back all the scandalous details. In effect, this was the mainstream media organizations themselves, which heard plenty of rumours and in some cases even had hard evidence of Woods' scandalous





behaviour, but refused to investigate or publicise for fear of harming a much cherished role model.

POST-RACIAL MIX

The anti-White racism in the Woods case is interesting, but the other kind of racism is even more fascinating. As already mentioned the golfer has attempted to define himself as some kind of post-racial mix, namely 'Cablinasian.' Although there is some rationale for this in Woods' genetic diversity (he is apparently 25% Black, 25% Thai, 25% Chinese, 12.5% Dutch, and 12.5% Red Indian), Cablinasian is not an effective identity as the only Cablinasian is Woods himself. In modern American society Woods, whatever his other bloodlines, is effectively Black - just like other people of

mixed race with Black blood.

Other mixed race Americans, like President Barack Obama and the actress Hale Berry, are seen as Black by the wider society and choose to see themselves as such. After all, Obama's defining autobiography is not called "Dreams of my (White) Mother."

Woods' attempt to assume a meaningless and unworkable ethnic identity like Cablinasian is interesting because it actually represents an attempt at deracination - the eradication of racial identity. The idea that someone should give up a racial identity is implicitly racist because it involves the idea of devaluing and destroying that racial identity. The subtext of the Woods case is that his Black identity is worthless or problematic so that he seeks to negate it by assuming an ethnic non-identity like Cablinasian.

This is the polar opposite of the Black Pride movement of the 1960s.

This narrative of deracination is also increasingly an issue with the current President, Barack Obama. During the 2008 Presidential primaries, Senator Joe Biden, later Obama's running mate and now the Vice-President, called Obama "the first mainstream African-American who is articulate and bright and clean and a nice-looking guy," reflecting the idea that Obama appeals to White voters because he comes across as a very un-Black Black, something that had initially made him unpopular with Black voters.

The same controversy appeared again following his State of the Union speech in January. This was greeted by the same inverted racist fawning from the White liberal media that helped to get him elected. One commentator, Chris



Matthews, the host of the MSNBC program "Hardball" was so impressed that he felt moved to say, "I forgot he was black tonight for an hour. You know, he's gone a long way to become a leader of this country, and past so much history, in just a year or two. I mean, it's something we don't even think about."

UN-BLACK BLACKS

The idea of forgetting that Blacks are Blacks has also been at the heart of the Woods case. One reason that Woods has been the most sponsored athlete of all time is not just because he is Black (and therefore deemed 'worthy' of affirmative action privileges). In America's skewed sporting system, which disproportionately encourages non-White participation (see my article in the September 2008

issue of Identity), there are plenty of Black athletes. What made Tiger - and in the 2008 Presidential candidate Barack Obama - so appealing was the fact that he was able to play the part of a very un-Black Black guy, a role that America's still overwhelmingly White middle class could feel comfortable with.

Rather than the surly, alienated, pimp or gangsta style persona favoured by a lot of American sportsmen, Woods adopted the style, manners, and body language of the White middle-class golfers he mixed with, effectively playing the White role of a serious, unassuming, conservative, well-to-do, family-centred guy. When it was later revealed that he had in fact some of the same habits as some of the more disreputable Black sportsmen, this image was destroyed, and in its place the negative Black image of promiscuity and fatherless families was substituted.

The ideals of sexual fidelity to one partner and the integrity of the family, although not always followed through by us, nevertheless lie at the heart of White European culture. In other words, these notions are more natural to us than they are to people from certain non-European backgrounds, especially Africa with its traditions of polygamy and "open families." But to expect people from other ethnic backgrounds to share morality, social patterns, and ideals which are rooted in our own ethnic nature, history, and experience is paradoxical and inherently racist.

The case of Tiger Woods reveals the Faustian bargain that multiracial states must strike to survive. On the one hand, there is racism against the main group in the form of 'affirmative action.' This is necessary to prevent extreme alienation developing. Without it certain racial minorities might fall so far behind that they would feel completely excluded from society. Electing one of them to the

Presidency, based on his ability to read an auto-prompt machine, say, or excessively celebrating the sporting achievements of another, is necessary to make them feel included in the artificial society.

This naturally involves widespread and pervasive racism against the majority. But in return for this, the majority expect the minority to increasingly give up their own racial identity and character, to deracinate and revel in meaningless terms like 'Cablinasian' or 'post-racial' as they mimic the identity of the majority. When they do, they are rewarded with high office, massive sponsorship deals, and other goodies from the table.

But the idea that millions of people can give up their racial identity and character in an attempt to 'transcend race' is not only immoral and racist, but also unworkable, as many Blacks instinctively feel. Following Matthews comment about Obama's lack of Blackness, there was a strong reaction from Black Americans who are quite rightly keen to hang on to their racial identity and character as Blacks.

"It's important for us to remember that everyone has a race," Blair L. M. Kelley, an associate professor of history at North Carolina State University said. "When you say we're going to transcend race, are white people called on to transcend their whiteness? When (black people) transcend it, what do we become? Do we become white? Why would we have to stop being our race in order to solve a problem?"

The inherent tendency of all multiracial societies is to force some groups or indeed all groups to deracinate, effectively devaluing and destroying the ethnic and cultural identity of millions. This is nothing less than endless and pervasive racism on a truly horrific scale, an evil that, at the moment, only the BNP is committed to opposing.

The Art of Persuasion

By Quentin Hawkins


When was the last time somebody changed your mind? It's quite likely that you can't even remember. Persuasion can take many forms, and can often be subtle, possibly to the point where you don't even realise that it's happened. It is true that blunt forms of persuasion can often work as well, but they have their time and place. Take the example of a politician appearing on television. If he uses a blunt argument, then some people will respond to it, while others may find it off-putting. With thousands or perhaps millions of people watching the programme it may be a risk worth taking. However when you are dealing with someone face to

face then it is probably better to go for a subtle approach.

You want to persuade people to vote for the British National Party, and maybe even become a member. An important question to consider is how long you should allocate to the task. If you get talking with someone while you are manning a stall, then you can probably make time for a lengthy chat if need be, but if you are leafletting then you should aim to keep any conversations brief and to the point. Time spent chatting, while it can be a welcome break, is time not spent putting leaflets through letter boxes. Let us consider some situations which may arise, and how best to handle them.

Someone tells you that he is undecided, but that he is planning to vote for either the British National Party or another party.

You could reply by lecturing him about the absolute necessity of voting for the BNP, but such a blunt approach could well have the opposite effect. A better option might be merely to make polite conversation, and maybe throw in a few positive comments about the BNP, and then move on. This person is thinking of voting for us. It is quite possible that until recently he would not have dreamt of voting for us. He is now meandering in our direction. Let him keep on



meandering. If he does not vote for us this time round, then he may well do so in future. (The first time I voted BNP was more than three years after I first took an active interest in the party. People move at their own pace.)

Someone tells you that he is sympathetic to what we stand for, but that he is going to vote for one of the Lib-Lab-Con parties on the grounds that it has more chance of forming a government.

The small party dilemma is a perennial issue in British politics. Most people who vote in parliamentary elections normally cast their votes for one of the two main parties, as they often see any other party as being a wasted vote. As a result, many people end up voting for a party whose policies they do not really like.

The blunt answer to this dilemma is that people need to get tough with themselves. If they refuse to vote for a small party on the grounds that they cannot see it winning power, then that party will almost certainly never win power. At some point people need to take that bold first step and dare to cast their vote for a small party. The Labour Party was once a small party on the margins of British politics. It progressed to become a big player only because people dared to take the plunge and vote for it. Similar determination is called for today if the BNP is to progress.

If you think this won't work, then another approach is to remind the person that he can support a political party in two ways: by voting and by donating money. If he feels torn between two parties, then he can vote for the one and give money to the other. Thus if you cannot persuade him to vote for us, then you might persuade him to part with some cash. Money can be very

important. The rise of the Labour Party and its eventual displacement of the Liberal Party was assisted by its healthy finances.

Someone tells you that he is planning to vote for the United Kingdom Independence Party.

One reply would be to lambast UKIP's policies, and it might work. Then again, people do not always vote on the basis of policies. They are just as likely to vote on the basis of a gut level feeling that something is right. Another approach would be to argue that the UKIP is a wasted vote, and there is certainly no shortage of evidence to back up that particular assertion. The UKIP appears to be a one-trick pony. It does well in European Parliament elections, but it has far fewer council seats than the BNP; it was outpolled by the BNP in the Scottish Parliament and Welsh Assembly elections in 2007; and in 2008 it was outpolled by the National Front in the London Assembly elections.



Then again, such an approach does not always work, as I know from experience. Many people consider that thirteen MEPs is a clear sign of electoral credibility. This is one situation where saying nothing might be a sensible

option. If the UKIP really is such a waste of a vote, then people will undoubtedly realise that in due course. When they do, we want them to come over to us. That is why it probably makes sense not to antagonise UKIP voters at this point in time.

Someone tells you that he considers the British National Party's immigration policy to be too drastic.

He accepts that immigration causes problems, but cannot support a policy of no more immigration. Instead he wants



immigration to be restricted.

There are three answers to this, any of which might be effective. The first answer is to try to persuade him that a policy of no more immigration is absolutely right. You could point out that the British people are on course to become a minority in their own country within the next twenty years, and that restricting immigration would serve only to delay that by a few more years. Another answer would be to ask the person what exactly he would consider to be a reasonable level of immigration. He may well prove to be uncertain on this

point, which might help you to talk him round.

The third answer would be to ask the person how they propose to achieve a policy of restricted immigration. Point out that it would be almost impossible for any government to restrict immigration to any meaningful extent unless they first withdraw from the EU. There is not much point telling an immigrant that he cannot stay in Britain if he is then going to appeal under European law. Even if his appeal is unsuccessful, the cost to the British taxpayer of contesting the appeal would almost certainly be obscenely high.

Someone asks you where you draw the line.

Many people are led to believe that the BNP aims to deport all non-whites. When you tell them that this isn't true, they may ask you how you decide which immigrants should be allowed to stay and which ones not.

The important point to make in reply is that we should draw the line somewhere. By contrast, the Lib-Lab-Con parties seek to draw the line nowhere.

Someone tells you that he knows a lot of nice immigrants.

Ideally you should reply that you also know a lot of nice immigrants. You might also be able to tell him that your local BNP unit counts some immigrants among its members. You might also like to tell him that the problems caused by or exacerbated by immigration include crime, homelessness, and unemployment. Be prepared to give examples, and keep an eye on the BNP website for good examples to use.

Someone tells you that The Bible requires us to be kind to immigrants.

A good start would be to ask him where the Bible says this specifically. He may have in mind Exodus chapter 22 verse 21, which says: "Do not mistreat an alien or oppress him" (New International Version). As it happens, Exodus chapter 22 also exhorts its readers to kill perverts, but you might not want to mention that.

You might want to ask what exactly this passage means. For example, does it imply that we should let foreigners settle among us for so long as they please? Palestine in Old Testament times was effectively a crossroads, straddling the



A related point is that if you believe that Britain should welcome asylum seekers then you are effectively allowing something very close to unrestricted immigration, seeing that we have little or no control over the number of people who seek asylum in this country. The bottom line therefore is that no government can hope to exercise any real control over the level of immigration into this country unless its starting position is withdrawal from the EU, and also that asylum seekers from third world countries should invariably be turned away. The British National Party supports both of these policies. Does any other party?

trade routes between Egypt, Syria, and Babylonia. Many people would have passed through the lands of the Jews without any intention of settling there, and so this Bible passage is probably saying nothing more than that we should be considerate to people who pass through our country en route for somewhere else.

You might also want to point out that Matthew's Gospel chapter 2 contains an example of the true meaning of asylum. King Herod wanted to kill baby Jesus, so his parents fled with him into Egypt, returning when Herod was dead.

The three important points are that they were genuinely fleeing persecution, that they sought refuge in a nearby country, and that their asylum was temporary, ending when they were no longer in danger. By contrast, many asylum seekers who come to Britain may not actually be in danger, not one has come from a nearby country, and very few have any intention of ever returning home, even if they are no longer in danger.

Someone tells you that he is concerned about allegations that the BNP are extremists.

A good reply here would be to ask the person what he understands by the term extremist (or racist, or Nazi). The Lib-Lab-Con parties support flooding Britain with immigrants, no matter what the cost to the settled population. How is this not extremism?


BNP councillors work hard to represent all sectors of their communities, and often have good relations with Sikh or Hindu voters in their wards. Is this evidence of racism? The BNP opposes the ongoing war in Afghanistan. If we were Nazis, would we not support it?



Someone tells you that the BNP are racists or Nazis.

This is the kind of situation where a blunt response might actually be a smart move. A woman I know once criticised me for supporting the BNP. When I tried to explain to her about the negative impact of multiculturalism, another woman stated plainly that the BNP were Nazis. I replied just as plainly that the Labour Party are Nazis, which clearly neither of them were expecting. The discussion fizzled out almost immediately.

I don't believe for one moment that I had persuaded either of them to support the BNP, but I had left them uncertain of their own position. Sometimes we have to be grateful for even the smallest of victories.



Tolkien's Warning on International Finance

Alastair Harper reveals that *The Lord of the Rings* author, J.R.R. Tolkien, was warning of the evils of International Finance more than 50 years ago.

J. R. R. Tolkien has written his way into the literary pantheon of the 20th century with his magnum opus *The Lord of the Rings*, voted 'the favourite book of all time' according to a Folio Society survey in 1997.

Whilst most readers are content to view *The Lord of the Rings* as a fantasy epic a closer look at the sympathies of this great writer inclines us to the belief that he was not only a British patriot but a penetrating critic of the great evil of International Finance and the Tyranny of Interest.

Evidence of this is to be found in his endorsement of the views of A. K. Chesterton, a cousin of the celebrated

late 19th century poet Gilbert Keith Chesterton.

Tolkien subscribed for twenty years to the nationalist journal *Candour* which Chesterton founded, edited and to which over the years Tolkien was a major contributor.


We are indebted to Stephen Goodson, sometime leader of the Abolition of Income Tax and Usury Party in South Africa for having rescued from Tolkien's estate the twenty four volumes of *Candour* which the writer had annotated and selectively underlined in red ink indicating his appreciation and sympathies for the views which the following quotations expressed.

"Africa is not peopled by Black Europeans, but it is a continent full of tribes mentally and morally at the dawn of history.

"Self-government does not mean democracy - Liberia and Abyssinia (now Ethiopia) are two warning lights. African hegemony would lead to the suicide of the White community in East and Central Africa and to the ruin of African hopes of sustained progress." 10th Aug. 1956.

In underlining the following Tolkien seconded Chesterton's incisive views on democracy and the media.

"The concentration of the power of the Press has long since made a mockery



of whatever degree of informed democracy we may have once known..."
10th Feb 1956.

"The true equation is 'democracy' = government by world financiers.

"The main mark of modern governments is that we do not know who governs, de facto any more than de jure. We see the politician and not his backer; still less the backer of the backer; or, what is most important of all, the banker of the backer.

"Throned above all, in a manner without parallel in all past, is the veiled prophet of finance, swaying all men living by a sort of magic, and delivering oracles not understood by the people."

13th July 1956.

Throughout his twenty years readership of *Candour* Tolkien repeatedly underscored references to the evils of usury, fictitious fractional banking and the lending of money produced by a stroke of a pen at interest.

EVILS OF USURY

"There should only be one source of money: one fountainhead from which flows the nation's blood to vitalise commerce and industry, ensure economic equity and justice and safeguard the welfare of the people... In other words it has always been and still is our

contention that the prerogative of creating and issuing the money of the nation should be restored to the State." 10th Aug 1956.

Bearing these quotations in mind it is not a far cry to perceive the Dark Lord Sauron who has enslaved the folk of Middle Earth with the rings of power. There are seven rings for the dwarf lords, five for the elf kings, nine for mortals and one to overrule all in darkness and slavery. The gold rings were forged in the fires of Mount Doom.

Ponder on these symbols of International Finance and its power to completely control the mass media and politicians world-wide.

How Bankers Outdo Forgers

Andrew Brons, BNP's MEP for Yorkshire and North Lincolnshire, reviews an article by Darius Guppy in the Sunday Telegraph, 21st February 2010

I am not sure that we should be seen to be seeking confirmation of our policies from a convicted fraudster but I suppose that it is better than buying a second-hand car from one.

Darius Guppy's article in the Sunday Telegraph on the credit-creating activities of the commercial banks might not be the first time that this subject has been aired in the Establishment media but it is the first time that I have ever seen it covered at such length and in such detail.

He began by introducing his former cell mate from 1994, 'Tommy', whose artistic talents lured him into creating facsimiles of Dutch Guilders that were so good that they convinced bankers of their authenticity. He then explained that the difference between the activities of Tommy and those of the commercial bank was simply one of scale. The banks created much more money than forgers like Tommy and charged us interest on it.

Guppy explained the bankers' racket in language and examples that I have only previously seen in Nationalist books and made reference to a book by the

Nobel Prize winner for Chemistry in 1921, Frederick Soddy - a book that has long been out of print as far as main stream publishers are concerned but has been published privately by Nationalists and money reformers. Who else shared a cell with Darius Guppy, I wonder?

He explained how the mediaeval goldsmiths took care of gold for their customers and issued each of them a receipt. After a while, the goldsmiths noticed that their customers were using the receipts as money instead of claiming their gold to spend it. The goldsmiths knew that they could issue more receipts than they had gold deposited with them. These they could lend at interest, confident that only a small proportion would be presented to the goldsmiths for the value in gold to be paid.

Today the Gold Standard is long gone but if cash - notes and coins - is substituted for gold and bank lending - loans, overdrafts and credit card use - is substituted for gold receipts, the principle is the same. The banks know that when they lend customers money, very little of

that money will be asked for in cash - either by the customer to whom they have lent the money or the people and institutions to whom the loan is paid. They are required to hold only 10% of their total deposits in cash. This means that they can lend out many times more money than had been deposited with them initially. That *virtual money* can be lent at interest to the great profit of the banks. Of course, most of that created money finds its way back to the banking system and creates new deposits. There is a saying in banking circles: *loans create deposits*. That helps to create the illusion that the banks only lend out what they have received in deposits until you notice that the loans preceded the deposits and did not follow them!

The dictum that people will not all demand their money at once was once regarded as set in stone. Set in stone, that is, until 2008. As Guppy wrote, "The truth is not that these institutions (the banks) have suddenly become insolvent but that they were never really solvent in the first place."

Philip Smeeton asks what would the world look like if Islam finally triumphed?

There will be no paintings and sculptures, art as we understand it will have been eliminated. There will be no literary creativity as in the Islamic world fiction is regarded as a waste of time and writers are required to apply themselves to the study and the praise of the Koran.

Women will not be allowed to say anything about anything, let alone function as artists. Their role will be to serve men. Almost everything that is not devoted to the praise of Allah or the study of Mohammed will be forbidden.

A glance at any book on Islamic art will reveal that it is almost entirely comprised of calligraphy depicting passages from the Koran. Otherwise it is purely decorative, consisting of geometric patterns based mostly on floral motifs. A very few forbidden paintings from the private collections of princes exist.

INCOMPATIBLE WITH ISLAM

Most art forms are incompatible with Islam where idolatry is strictly forbidden. In consequence throughout their history Muslims have consistently defaced any art that they have come across. There is nothing comparable in Islamic art to the great legacy of Western art. It is the Age of Enlightenment versus the Dark Ages.

There can be no democracy or any political parties because the priests and princes will decide everything in accordance with the teachings of the one true prophet.

Islam requires only a low level of

technology, there is no incentive for research and the study of science. The acquisition of technology from the West is dedicated largely to the destruction of the West.

There is no moderate Islam; all of Islam is fundamentalist. Islam is submission to the will of Allah. It is out of necessity opposed to freedom in any form; freedom of thought, speech and expression. It is precisely on these freedoms that art depends. To a Muslim freedom is the act of submission. Every day, five times a day, in response to the call to prayer that drones out from countless minarets, Muslims bow their foreheads to the ground in submission. Accepting without question the words of Mohammed.

Islam controls every aspect of life. Those that write or say anything, or even draw anything, that can be construed as


blasphemous or opposed to Islam are sentenced to death. Hanging, decapitation, stoning, the cutting off of hands and feet, vicious whippings; all of these punishments are practiced and required by Islamic Law.

Islamic architecture is devoted to the Koran's description of Paradise, with its gardens and fountains. Even the Harem reflects Paradise. The Mullahs require their mosques and the rulers their palaces, while ordinary people are consigned to live in the most basic of dwellings. They envy and crave for the luxury and technology of the West, while they are sworn to destroy us.

Let us remember that if Islam should triumph the product of hundreds, thousands of years of work and strife, everything we believe in and hold dear; all of our achievements in art, politics and science will be eradicated.

Art and Islam





Who is Nice & Who is Nasty?

Tim Heydon says liberal 'niceness' is self-centred, sugar-coated nastiness.

Liberals congratulate themselves in being 'nice' people. Those who disagree with their approach, such as BNP supporters, are, they sneer, 'nasty', almost by definition. Well, is liberalism 'nice' and are liberals 'nicer' people than those who take a more robust attitude to the individual and to society than they do? Let's see....

In an underreported article (*Standpoint* magazine March 2009) Kenneth Minogue, Professor Emeritus at the London School of Economics, made devastating criticisms of the way 'niceness' as defined by Liberals is destroying our society. 'Niceness' for them he said, means uncritical, empathising tolerance of behaviour and attitudes which are enemies of civilised values. For example, the institution of the Family, the very foundation of our society, has been undermined by rewarding single mothers with unconditional, non-judgemental floods of benefits, greater than married couples can obtain, so that serial parenthood flourishes. Predatory males have been allowed to shirk their responsibilities as fathers because they know that the state will step in to take their place as providers.

This kind of state 'niceness' is of course at the expense of those who do work to support their children. And it has endless, ugly, social effects. For example, in a 1996 report the left-leaning Rowntree Foundation found that children without two parents at 15 are more likely to leave education without any qualifications, to use drugs, to be unemployed or to be pregnant at the age of 18. A 1998 report by the Association of Chief Police Officers said that single parenthood, divorce and absent fathers were responsible for youth crime. Liberal 'niceness' leads inevitably to deep nastiness and distress because it fails to recognise the realities of life. But the

middle class liberals who have orchestrated all this can generally buy their way out of the problems they have created for the less affluent.

When the children of these shattered relationships go to the bog-standard state schools prescribed for them by these liberals, who either do not have children (liberals tend to be too self-centred for parenthood) or like Tony Blair often manage to have their own children educated elsewhere, they join others in a 'nice,' feminised, child-centred environment which could have been designed to be places where mediocrity and disruption rules. The 'nasty' idea of teachers as figures of authority who are there to impart knowledge and skills and have the authority to keep order and a learning atmosphere with proper discipline and punishment has been done away with - it is 'fascistic' - a means of imposing traditional standards and excluding those of vulnerable minority groups. 'Niceness' now sees teachers as 'facilitators' rather than instructors, helping children to 'discover' and building their self-esteem rather than learning; a regime which demands that pupils be constantly praised and in which criticism is limited as 'damaging'. 'Niceness' then ensures that Grade Inflation covers up tumbling standards to ensure that feelings are not hurt. This 'all must have prizes' culture cossets self-esteem and cloaks the failure of the system but does nothing for pupils' ability to earn a living or otherwise prepare them for life in a harshly competitive world.

Teachers, now comprised of so many women so that many boys never come across a decent male role model, are unable properly to discipline their charges, (students 'know their rights') so that chaos reigns in too many classrooms. In a poll by the NASUWT teachers'

union recently, nearly 97% of teachers in primary schools said that they had to deal with children who were disruptive while nearly 75% said that they had pupils who were physically aggressive. A Government study in early 2009 showed that parents thought behaviour in schools had got worse since the abolition of the cane, the threat of which was effective even when never used. Corporal punishment was abolished in 1987 in state schools and in 1998 in fee-paying schools. Corporal punishment is of course, 'nasty'.

When these pupils leave school, they find that the child-centred, uncritical approach of school is echoed in the wider community. 'Niceness' decrees that everyone must be free to do their own thing, and that no one must be 'judgemental' - that would be 'nasty'. What's more, it implies moral standards, and there tend to be no absolute moral standards in a 'nice' society in which morality is thought of as personal to you - unless it is a case of political correctness, of course. 'Niceness' says that the perpetrators are not at fault - it's society that's to blame. So they must be empathised with, understood, supported and guided, not confronted, punished and stigmatised - that would be 'nasty'. As a result of this weak attitude, crime, thuggishness and anti social and dishonourable behaviour of all kinds are further encouraged. Not so much in the leafy areas where members of the liberal political class tend to live, however, and where some of their neighbours still cling on to the old values.

And the desire to be thought to be 'nice' is the impulse towards the destruction not just of society in any civilised form, but of the country. It would be 'nasty' to refuse entry to asylum seekers however bogus. These and the other immigrants compete for



jobs, housing and benefits which rightfully belong to the indigenous working class, but middle class liberals have not felt the impact of this competition. And it is 'nasty', not 'nice', to draw attention to the unpleasant aspects of the presence of millions of aliens in Britain, such as the divisions and loss of social cohesion and identity it produces with all that means for the breakdown of any sense of community. Middleclass Liberals who rhapsodise about the 'vibrancy' of multicultural areas are not forced to discover the alienation and pain of living in them as do the trapped indigenous working class victims of their enthusiasms.

But if the effects of the 'niceness' of Liberalism are so often nasty in the

extreme, is it not the case that liberals are themselves nice people, just rather foolish? Well, a proportion of them clearly are. But a much larger proportion, I suggest, are not nearly as nice as they like to think. It will be seen that there are common threads running through 'nice' liberal policies. They are about 'society', not individuals, doing things. And it is also that while the results of these policies are often thoroughly destructive and evil, middle class liberals tend not to have to suffer from them as do those people to whom they are patronisingly being 'nice'.

That is because the true agenda of left-liberalism is about the outsourcing of personal responsibilities to the government so that 'me' generation

liberals can get on and 'do their own thing' (whatever the damage to society and individuals, including liberals themselves, which is in any case denied). Liberals claim (conveniently but falsely) that people are naturally good and so, if they behave badly, it is only because they are victims of an 'oppressive' society. Therefore it is for *society*, not the *individual* to be 'caring' and 'nice'. As Peter Schweizer, a research fellow at the Hoover Institute at Stanford University remarks in his book, 'Makers and Takers', this is partly what makes liberalism so appealing to the self-centred. It is 'a philosophy of lip-service to virtuous ideals that demands little if any action from its adherents.'

Thus 'the main point of liberal compassion appears to be making liberals feel good about their superior virtue' at minimum actual cost to themselves. Hence the nauseating, self-indulgent displays of cheap and showy emotionalism on such occasions as the death of that liberal icon, Princess Diana, or Bob Geldof's 'Save the World' pop concerts, or concern for a non-existent 'equality,' which say, "Look at me, I care! - I'm *nice*!"

The real carers, Schweizer shows by reference to many real-life instances and academic studies are people who would never describe themselves as liberals. Over and over again, these people, despised and vilified by hypocritical liberals as 'nasty' are revealed as giving more to the unfortunate, not just in terms of money but in time and personal effort. Their caring is not theoretical and outsourced to the government, not self-congratulatory and showy, but personal, hands-on, nitty-gritty and *discreet*. And because their caring is genuine and realistic, it succeeds where the 'state' caring of liberalism fails.

These non-liberals are the truly nice.



Why the Media Lies About Afghanistan

Well, the cat is well and truly out of the bag now that the Guardian has broken what many saw as a media embargo on this story. Up until recently the controlled media here in Britain was quite happy to peddle the lie that the war in Afghanistan was about bringing “democracy to the Afghan people” and to progress the “War on Terror”. Now it has been confirmed that it is neither of these but a pincer movement having the twin objectives of containing China and Russia, and controlling and securing a passage to the sea for the considerable oil and gas resources of the Caspian sea region in the light of Peak Oil/Gas. The media will have to come up with a new excuse to justify the ongoing loss of British lives and expenditure of British taxpayers’ money.

We suspect that rather than tell the truth, that British lives are being sacrificed to make the proposed Trans-Afghanistan pipeline corridor safe for big oil/gas investment and thus immensely profitable for corporate exploitation, that they’ll come up with something more palatable. Something along the lines of “this military adventure is essential for the security of our gas/oil supplies”

The BNP’s Land and People group was the first to expose the true reason for our involvement in Afghanistan: the construction of a major pipeline to ship oil for the West. This report by ‘Mercia’ appeared on the BNP website in January.

would fit the bill!

Unfortunately it would be just another corporate media lie propagated for the benefit of their corporate owners. Why squander £ billions in promoting a war in Afghanistan, at the cost of hundreds and possibly, in the fullness of time, thousands of British lives, when the same money could be invested in developing clean coal, tidal and wave technology as recently suggested by BNP Chairman, Nick Griffin thus negating our need for such fuels?

The problem, from the point of view of the multinational oil/gas corporations is that oil and gas is a tangible reality, meaning that it is guaranteed to generate huge profits for big oil/gas once the security issue has been resolved and the

hydrocarbons start to flow, besides the cost of securing the ground for their highly lucrative Afghanistan pipeline investment will not be met by them but paid for in other peoples’ lives, using other peoples’ tax money.

The problem is, of course, that the truth is unpalatable - not least amongst the parents of the young people sent under false pretences by our corrupt corporate-subservient government to prosecute this wretched war. Dying for one’s country, God or way of life is widely regarded as being acceptable and honourable - dying to make some fabulously rich Wall Street/City of London corporate parasite even richer is not!

Which is why the controlled media will continue to muddy the water over why we are in Afghanistan and why British Nationalists should expose the conflict for the sordid multinational corporate moneymaking venture it is destined to become. (Hence the BNP election slogan: “Support Our Troops - Bring Them Home!” - Editor) Land and People also publish a bi-monthly newsletter at only £3 a year subscription. Write: PO Box 5458, Bournemouth BH6 5XY

Debunking Global Warming

To mark the Copenhagen Climate Conference, December 2009, attended by both BNP MEPs, Nick Griffin and Andrew Brons, copies of an extensive report on global warming prepared by the BNP were made available to all delegates. The following extracts comprise the first three of the 29 section BNP report.

The BNP is not a scientific body. It is a political party which believes in the integrity of the nation state.

The party is deeply sceptical of the view promulgated by the international political elite that global warming is man-made.

The BNP, like all interested bodies, must weigh the evidence carefully and reach an opinion. In so doing, it must also identify, within the debate, vested interests and influences, both covert and overt.

That there has been so little debate is in itself remarkable, given that there are many thousands of scientists who have questioned the thesis of man-made global warming. Attempts by these experts to challenge the 'warmists' to debate their contention have been spurned.

When an argument reaches politically-correct dimensions, not least by the BBC, it becomes questionable for that reason alone. Why is debate thwarted? Why are those who hold a contrary opinion silenced and ostracised and by whom?

MAN-MADE INFLUENCES

No one understands how the global climate engine works or which the most important inputs are. No one can say for certain what effects man-made influences on any or several of these may be.

As the information in this paper demonstrates, the claim that the world is warming on account of greenhouse gases is based upon limited, prejudicial and manipulated information.

Much of the evidence has been extracted from a tiny part of the earth's climatic cycle. This is equivalent of the Prime Minister having based his spending plans on the most productive day of the economic cycle, when tax receipts were highest. But as Dr Goebbels once

observed, if you repeat something often enough, even the most sceptical will believe it.

Investigation of the topic of global warming appears to be less about climate than about developing international political power structures aimed at eroding the sovereignty of the nation state.

The game was revealed on the front page of the Financial Times of 7th Feb 2007, when the leading article began:

"A series of 'green crimes' enforceable across the EU and punishable by prison sentences and hefty fines, are to be proposed under a contentious push by the European Commission into the sensitive area of lawmaking.

"The draft directive...assigns minimum levels of punishment..."

"The action follows a European Court of Justice ruling in 2005. It sidesteps the historic right of national parliaments to decide what constitutes a crime and how it should be punished.

"Stavros Dimas, Environment Commissioner, has seized upon the court judgement that struck out an agreement between member states and ruled that environmental matters should be dealt with via harmonised procedures."

Thus, for the first time, British criminal law has fallen within the influence of the Commission, along with the right to impose criminal punishment.

Who in the UK, apart from the Prime Minister, has been shouting loudest for international action on 'global warming'. Yes, David Cameron of the Conservative Party! One can always rely upon the Conservatives to diminish national sovereignty within an environment of smoke and mirrors, as Britain's deceitful entry into the EU attests, along with the subsequent treaties that followed.

So, the bogus claims of global

warming really appear to be about the diminution of national sovereignty, creating a precedent to enable the EU to interfere in criminal law and punishment and, crucially, introducing and harmonising taxes - in this instance, 'green' taxes.

None of the above should suggest that the BNP opposes environmentalism. To the contrary, the BNP has repeatedly campaigned against the concreting over of large areas of countryside, of over-population caused by immigration and of the congestion that flows from this.

More pertinent to this paper, the BNP wishes to preserve fossil fuels and for the simple reason that they are finite and will eventually run out or become so expensive that their use will be prohibitive.

The BNP is also aware that tens of thousands of elderly die in Britain each year from hypothermia, because they cannot afford to heat their homes. The BNP considers this to be altogether unacceptable.

SUMMARY OF CASE AGAINST

The case against 'Global Warming' is summarised beneath. Many of these points have been well explored, inter alia, by the Australian geology Professor, Ian Plimer, in his recent book on the subject - snubbed by the large publishers.

Since that book's publication, leading British and US scientists from the IPCC, at East Anglia's Climatic Research Unit, have been embroiled in a colossal scandal, encompassing destruction and tampering of data and statistics, profiteering, deletion of emails and falsification and manipulation of evidence to support the theory of 'global warming'. Furthermore, they have refused outsiders access to their raw data and avoided Freedom of Information

requests. East Anglia's Research Unit is at the forefront of the 'global warming' thesis, in continuous touch with fellow scientists in the field and central to the warming cause.

The hypothesis that human activity creates global warming contradicts what we know of solar physics, astronomy, history, archaeology and geology, according to Professor Plimer.

The extreme language employed by the 'warmists' is reminiscent of that used in previous scares, such as with BSE or the Y2K millennium bug, when Tony Blair stated the problem was one of the most serious facing the global economy.

Supporters of 'Climate Change' focus on the extraordinarily narrow horizon of

the past 150 years within a time frame of 4.5bn years. Before the industrial revolution, global temperatures varied considerably, and often exceeded those of today, such as in the Eemian Interglacial and during the Roman and Mediaeval periods. A recent report from the Japan Society of Energy and Resources stated that climate change is 'driven by natural cycles not human industrial activity'. The Society dismissed the use of computer models, comparing these with astrology.

As Professor Plimer observes, within global history, polar ice has been present on earth for less than 20 per cent of geological time; climate changes are cyclical and random; the CO₂ in the atmosphere - to which human activity

contributes the tiniest fraction - is only 0.001 per cent of the total CO₂ held in the oceans, surface rocks, air, soils and life; CO₂ is not a pollutant but a plant food; the earth's warmer periods - such as when the Romans grew grapes and citrus trees as far north as Hadrian's Wall - were periods of prosperity and buoyant harvests. It should also be noted that CO₂ levels have been much higher in the past: during Ordovician ice-age period, CO₂ concentrations were over ten times higher than today!

'Climate Change' models engage in selective interpretation of data. None predicted this current period of global cooling. Global warming ceased in 1998. The past two to three years of global



cooling have erased nearly 30 years of temperature increase. Meanwhile, global warming on Mars and Jupiter's moons has been ignored and is clearly not explained by industrialization!

Similarly, allegations of rising sea levels can be attributed to a number of possibilities: cyclical variance, wind variance, variance in tidal currents, land sink and subsidence.

Anecdotal evidence about polar ice is often raised. And yet ice advances and retreats. When the Arctic is warming, the Antarctic is cooling and vice versa. If ice did not retreat then the planet would be iced over. The Antarctic ice sheet has been present for 37 million years during which time there were extended periods

of warmth when the ice sheet did not dissolve. Similar considerations apply to the Greenland ice sheet.

Sea levels have risen and fallen over time by perhaps 600 metres. Since the end of glaciation 14,000 years ago, sea levels have risen some 130 metres or as much as 10mm per year as against around 1mm per year recently. This sea level rise created the English Channel, before which Britain was linked to the Continent.

Warming alarmists also frequently cite the increased incidence of extreme weather events, omitting to mention that more people, houses and property causes an increase in insurance claims due to inclement weather. As Dr Arthur Robinson of the Oregon Institute of Science denotes, there has been no increase in hurricanes making landfall between 1900 and the present century. Equally, between 1950 and today, tornados in the USA have decreased.

'Global warming' is the new secular religion, many of whose proponents doubted Christianity and whose political philosophy was destabilized with the collapse of communism in 1989. Many of these elements have migrated into the environmentalist lobby where they continue to campaign against capitalism and big corporations while pursuing their globalist ideals.

The IPCC report has fed their new philosophy, fortified by the likes of Al Gore and Lord Stern, with righteous politicians queuing to impose more stringent carbon emissions targets and taxes on their electorates.

Meanwhile, as scepticism grows and recession bites, ecological sanctimony recedes amongst ordinary people.

Vast tomes of expensive and unnecessary regulation have been drafted because of a 'problem' that does not exist. Vested interests promote 'global

warming' to excuse greater taxation, regulation and international forums of governance and control. Energy companies and investors stand to gain handsomely from scams such as carbon trading. Charitable bodies like Greenpeace depend for their funding on public anxiety, on international 'consensus' articulated by such bodies as the EU Commission while environmental correspondents and advisors constantly exaggerate the threat to justify their salaries.

In the EU, the new trend towards 'Participative Democracy' creates a debate between representatives of NGOs and the EU institutions. The former are financed by the latter, facilitating the intended conclusions!

As George Orwell opined: "In times of universal deceit, telling the truth becomes a revolutionary act." In this case, heretical 'deniers' have already attracted calls to face Nuremburg-type trials for crimes against humanity.

PROFESSOR PLIMER'S STATISTICS

In a lecture delivered at a Conference in London in early Dec 2009, Professor Plimer stated that in five of the past six major ice ages, atmospheric CO₂ was up to 1000 times higher than today. In two of these ice ages, ice was present at ground level at the equator. The corollary of this, therefore, is that CO₂ does not drive global warming.

In a Nutshell - Some Relevant Briefing Notes:

1. Tsunamis are caused by tectonic plate movements, not climate change.
2. Biofuels divert land from food production to fuel production, causing food price rises - a major cause of



starvation in developing countries.

3. Warming advisors to local and regional authorities, governments, corporations and others must justify their existence. The IPCC is similar; it depends upon the warming thesis.

4. Axial tilt, axial wobbling are believed to have an effect on glaciation.

5. From the early '40s to the mid '70s there occurred global cooling, despite rising levels of CO₂. This created a widely shared fear of an approaching new ice age.

6. Since 1998, global temperatures have fallen, especially during the last two years.

7. The most significant atmospheric greenhouse gas is water vapour. Clouds are a greenhouse insulator. Taking into consideration water vapour, CO₂ is approximately 3% of greenhouse gases.

8. Temperature rises precede rises in atmospheric CO₂ levels (not vice versa) by between 800-1000 years - which is argued to be a feature of sea warming, causing this release of CO₂.


9. The release of CO₂ is governed by a law of diminishing returns. Thus, from zero, the first 50ppm CO₂ would have a marked warming effect; a further release of 50ppm would have a much reduced rate. From our current level of around 385ppm, a further rise of 20ppm will have a minuscule effect.

10. Ground stations, which measure warming, are sited close to cities which are warmer than countryside. Tarmac, buildings, concrete, vehicles, etc, create an artificially warm environment. Since

the USSR collapsed, many meteorological stations in Russia and Siberia have ceased to function in cold locations.

11. Sun Spots: sun spots create a strengthening of the sun's magnetic field. There is evidence to demonstrate a direct link between sunspots and warm weather. The astronomer Edward Maunder attributed the 'little ice age' to low sun spot activity.

12. The cost of mitigating global warming far exceeds the cost of the damage. Indeed, the beneficial effects of warming in terms of crop yields arguably outweigh the negative effects and open up lands in Northern areas of Canada and Russia.



13. Bjorn Lomborg notes that the Kyoto Protocol at best will only reduce average global temperatures by 0.2 degrees Celsius by 2100.

14. Under the Emissions Trading Scheme, the UK's rigorous scheme has caused the transfer of around 0.5bn GBP each year to continental companies

(where regulations are less stringent) to buy permits - with no effect on emissions.

15. Wind Farms - constructed to minimise the UK's output of CO₂, are ineffective during the high pressure weather periods that so often appear during mid Summer and mid Winter. When considered in conjunction with the closure by 2015 of over half a dozen UK coal-fired power plants, resulting from the EU's large Combustion Plant Directive, the threat to the UK's energy generation appears substantial.

16. Cost of Wind Power: a House of Commons Report, 'Wind Power in the UK' states that the hidden subsidy system of 'Renewable Obligation Certificates' is already adding 14% to domestic UK electricity bills.

17. The use of biofuels has taken up to one third of agricultural land from production in some regions and caused inflationary increases in the costs of basic foodstuffs.

18. Britain emits less than 2% of human-emitted CO₂ worldwide - a tenth what China, the worst emitter, puts out.


19. Oceans are one of the major sources of CO₂, according to Professor Carl Wunsch, Massachusetts Oceanographer. Warmer oceans provide more CO₂ and vice versa, but the effect is over centuries.

Many of the points above are well researched in Roger Helmer's booklet on climate, available from the Bruges Group.

THE MEDIEVAL WARM PERIOD

Lord Monckton of Brenchley writes on the Medieval Warm Period:

"Scores of scientific papers show that the medieval warm period was real,



global and up to 3C warmer than now. Then, there were no glaciers in the tropical Andes: today they're there. There were Viking farms in Greenland: now they're under permafrost. There was little ice at the North Pole: a Chinese naval squadron sailed right round the Arctic in 1421 and found none.

The Antarctic, which holds 90 per cent of the world's ice and nearly all its 160,000 glaciers, has cooled and gained ice-mass in the past 30 years, reversing a 6,000-year melting trend. Data from 6,000 boreholes worldwide show global temperatures were higher in the Middle Ages than now. And the snows of Kilimanjaro are vanishing not because summit temperature is rising (it isn't) but

because post-colonial deforestation has dried the air."

A new study using NASA and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) data finds consistent evidence that Earth's ozone layer is on the mend.

THE OZONE LAYER - RECOVERING!

A team led by Eun-Su Yang of the Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, analyzed 25 years of independent ozone observations at different altitudes in Earth's stratosphere, which lies between six and 31 miles above the surface. The observations were gathered from balloons, ground-based instruments,

NASA and NOAA satellites.

The stratosphere is Earth's second lowest atmospheric layer. It contains approximately 90 percent of all atmospheric ozone. The researchers concluded the Earth's protective ozone layer outside of the polar regions stopped thinning around 1997. Ozone in these areas declined steadily from 1979 to 1997.

The abundance of human-produced ozone-destroying gases such as chlorofluorocarbons peaked at about the same time (1993 in the lowest layer of the atmosphere, 1997 in the stratosphere). Such substances were phased out after the 1987 international Montreal Protocol was enacted.




The End of Globalisation

Alastair Harper contends that Globalisation, the translated economic idea of world free trade which has dominated the last two decades of our planet's history, is now following a process of disintegration that will leave no area of 21st century life unchanged, and to its detriment - not least small business and individual enterprise.

What is not sufficiently, if at all, publicised is that the idea of globalisation is insidiously in tandem with political correctness, itself reincarnated Marxism. Globalisation shares with the Trotskyite creed of perpetual revolution the need to destroy all institutions and traditional restraints which stand in the way of International Finance and its ultimate World Empire. Globalisation is fatal to nationalism in the West at one end of the scale and to small business and individual enterprise at the other. By so being, regional manufacturing is extinguished and the insecurity which so many are now bitterly experiencing is the order of the day.

Moral, cultural and national boundaries and the very family itself stand in the way of globalisation and cultural Marxism, the latter being the proper definition of political correctness, and the international agencies behind these titanic world movements work ceaselessly to break down these



traditional defences. Those who do not appreciate that we are engaged in the toils of Armageddon have not yet awakened to the politics of the 21st century.

The grand paradox of globalisation is that whilst on the surface it promises the unity of the world its effect is already bringing about the world's destruction.

What we are presently experiencing is an economic catastrophe which is the outcome of the 20th century myth of progress towards a world civilisation fired by limitless growth. Growth without limit in a world of rapidly diminishing resources is an economic fallacy. This growth so far has been achieved by the machinations of World Finance whose headquarters in New York and London have spread the doctrine of debt-based lending to the ends of the earth. Everywhere the debt-funded exploitation of the world's resources has led to an unrealistic acceleration of economic expansion. Based on the issue of fictitious credit balances world-wide a

boom of unprecedented extent resulted. The debt bubble, as was foretold, has burst.

The concept, carried on the back of the individualistic Anglo-American capitalist experience was that a free-market economy, shorn as far as possible of ethical and economic regulations, and mounted on the back of the newest technologies, would span the world and achieve a universal order of human betterment and wealth.

The events, as they unfold, are now revealing that notion to be the latest in a succession of utopian fallacies within Western economic thought and practice which hark back to the French Revolution of 1789.

SLASH AND BURN CAPITALISM

The first era of world free trade as we know it followed the British command of the seas which resulted from Nelson's victory at Trafalgar in 1805. It could well be described as a slash and burn

capitalism. We were the first to industrialise, we had limitless cheap coal fuel and we had limitless cheap labour. We traded, we manufactured and we sold wherever we chose. Free trade for 19th century Britain was the natural exploitation of a top-dog.

The Repeal of the Corn Laws in 1846 can be viewed as the birth pang of the free market in that it established agricultural free trade practice and a significant defeat for the conservative, aristocratic landed interest in the British Isles. The burgeoning industrial middle class wholeheartedly supported the Repeal in that it provided cheap bread for the workers in the rapidly multiplying factories. Secondary to this was the Poor Law Reform of 1834 which set the level of subsistence lower than the lowest wage set by the market. In its harsh conclusions it began the process of the weakening of the extended family, a process which the Thatcher governments accelerated to the acute damage of the British social fabric in their time. The

Poor Law Reform set the individual as solely responsible for his own welfare rather than any reliance on the communitarian spirit of earlier societies. The thrust of the Poor Law was to make each man responsible for his own protection against the demands of life by taking whatever opportunity or work society presented at whatever rate of pay. To this should be added the Repeal of the Statute of Apprentices, a post-Black Death piece of legislation in the 14th century aimed at maintaining a standard of wage levels.

By these enactments we can perceive the introduction of laissez-faire and free market fundamentalism taking their place in British economic life. The economic advantage achieved world-wide in the Victorian Era by the British was secured largely on the back of the tight-fisted psychology which framed these reforms.

However by the end of the 19th century our economic status was being surpassed by the rising industrial might of the US and Germany, and in the 20th century the US had installed the American consensus by 1945 and world hegemony with the fall of the Berlin Wall in 1990. This latter monumental event sent the signal that the global capitalism which America championed was the way ahead.

Events have proved otherwise, and whilst the International Monetary Fund and suchlike organisations still cling to a belief in a free market global economy, the intensifying recession indicates we are now entering an age of unprecedented world economic instability. Asia's diverse capitalisms will not converge with the Anglo-American consensus, for their roots in ethnic culture and religious traditions are too deeply run to give place

to an economic scheme already cracking at the seams. They have however availed themselves of Western technologies but will reject the cultural despair which marks Western society today.

The fuse which fired the economic explosion of this last year, particularly in the US and Britain, was the criminal misuse by World Finance as a whole of debt and the immoral encouragement to borrow and spend, more particularly in the US by those whom the lenders knew had little hope of honouring their commitments. The packaging and reselling of these mammoth "toxic" bundles of debt defies belief and, for most, understanding.

BUILT ON SAND

Our economic system, now dependent on international banking, in what we may



loosely call the West, is built upon sand; only 3% of money exists in specie, the rest being interest-bearing debt issued by the banks, and this on both sides of the Atlantic has been the fuel for prodigal consumer spending and the house price spiral.

The Protestant, ethical component which characterised early Northern European and American capitalist enterprise has leached away with the increasingly irreligious nature of our Western society. In the last quarter of the 20th century there was no longer any brake on the operation of fictitious money. Fractional reserve lending, by instantly capitalising the full amounts due to them from borrowers and using these future receivables to bring more lending into existence became standard practice, an iniquitous development of the invention of modern banking by Meyer Rothschild (1743-1812). Banking as we know it today on Wall Street and the City of London or wherever is no better than pyramid selling. Little wonder that the German language has the same word for Guilt and Debt.

At this point let us review the philosophical origins of globalisation which clearly lie in the evolution of the economic thought of the Enlightenment, that philosophical movement in North Western Europe and America from the late 17th to the early 19th centuries which enshrined the use of reason as the sole means of obtaining an understanding of life and a beneficent universal order of mankind's affairs. Gone the pessimistic, religious origin of mankind conceived in sin, awaiting the apotheosis which faith in the divinity of Christ alone could afford. When one considers the chasm which separated the Enlightenment rule of Reason from that rule of Faith which preceded it one can be excused for replacing the notion of

historical evolution with that of revolution.

The age of Enlightenment became politically visible with the arrival in the ideological arena of the French philosophes and Encyclopaedists whose doctrines were to contribute so much to the demise of the Ancien Regime in France 1789. With the "light of reason" the Enlightenment had dispelled the cobwebs of superstition and were setting to work, unhindered by thoughts of God, to mould this world to their liking.

Diderot, whose vividly anti-Christian *Pensées Philosophiques* were burned by the Church in France, and Marquis de Condorcet, preaching progress and the endless perfectibility of Man, typified the new age of political thinking which sought to destroy Christian religious dogma and its allied association of monarchical authority and hierarchical tradition.

Central to the French Enlightenment and to its derivatives Liberalism and Socialism stand Voltaire and Rousseau with their doctrines of political equality and the perfectibility of Man.

It is not often enough remarked upon that the ideology of nature and reason which are primarily identified with the Enlightenment are in themselves a utopian reaction.

Elsewhere in Europe in the century which followed the muster roll of Establishment thinkers was studded with the names of David Hume, Adam Ferguson and Adam Smith in Scotland; Karl Marx and Immanuel Kant in Germany, Tom Paine, Jeremy Bentham and John Stuart Mill in England, and in America, Thomas Jefferson. Whether the works of those foregoing philosophers and economists served as the fuel for the scientific and technical advances of the 18th and 19th centuries or were merely the perspiration of that vast historical

experience which was to change the face of the world is still being debated.

The foregoing philosophers severally highlighted such aspects as, empiricism and scientific scepticism, "commonsense", economic freedom, the "division of labour" and pure reason as an inviolable principle. These translated into such political concepts as the idealisation of the proletariat, "the greatest happiness of the greatest number", women's suffrage and equalitarianism all of which were the fundamentals of the ideological twins, Marxism and Liberalism.

These exemplars and their contributions nowhere nearly illustrate the vast canvas of philosophers, economists and scientists who went to make up the Enlightenment from the 17th to the end of the 18th century.

THE ROMANTIC REVOLT

By this time the reaction of the Romantic Revival began to make its presence felt. The message of this equally profound Western philosophy was that there existed other human stimuli outside the perspective of Reason shaping Man's existence. Grave doubts about what had for almost two hundred years been regarded as the new holy writ were thus set in motion.

The Romantic revolt against the belief in the omnipotence of reason goes as deep in its foundations as rationalist doctrine itself. Rene Descartes (1596-1650) had scarcely uttered his famous thesis "cogito, ergo sum", "I think, therefore I am", proclaiming the primacy of thought over being, than the Neapolitan philosopher Giambattista Vico (1668-1744) countered with the argument that knowledge was derived not from reason but from the reality of history and Man's contemplation of it.



The famous Wall Street bull -
the symbol of aggressive
global finance

Vico disputed the claim that reason could abrogate to itself the formulation of behaviour patterns for all peoples at all times and was the first to show that nations have evolved out of circumstances peculiar to themselves, and for this reason, the determinisms and the understanding of the past are of pre-eminent importance. He was the philosophical precursor of those who believe that human societies are organic and have a growth and decay as any natural thing.

In this elementary contrast can be seen the antagonism between conservative and liberal/socialist political philosophies. This dichotomy touched on here is too large to discourse upon in the terms of this present view of Globalisation.

In 1789, to the echoes of *Liberte, Egalite, Fraternite*, French cultural imperialism sought to imprint its new notions of liberal and egalitarian conduct on the world.

Napoleon's genius and his "whiff of grapeshot" certainly disturbed the old order throughout Europe but in a "close run thing" the forces of conservative tradition and religion overcame him and his grand design, and the world-wide ambitions of this first practical Enlightenment offensive came to naught. The sweetness and light of Reason in command did however leave a poisoned memory in Haiti where the freed slaves slaughtered their French masters with barbaric fury in miniature imitation of the Revolutionary Terror and multitudinous decapitations in France itself. The fruits of liberal rationality were bitter indeed.

In 1776 with the American Revolution a different people of individualistic English yeoman stock took up the ideals and honed them into the nation which has become the arbiter

of 20th century world affairs, the United States of America. It might well be that the crisis now afflicting the world economy will see the demise of that power because it has sought to create a new world in its own image, the globe spanned by unregulated free trade and fictitious money supply, heedless of any other consideration than the pursuit of profit and the vision of the world imitating America.

SOVIET 'UTOPIA'

The Soviet Union from 1917 onwards under the influence of Lenin and Stalin likewise sought to create its own version of an Enlightenment Utopia. On the dubious foundation of Marxian historical analysis, central planning, on a global scale, was seen as the rational replacement for the localised capitalism which had been gradually replacing the serfdom of Tsarist Russia and was then indeed giving promise of better days. It was not to be.

The disaster of the 1st World War and the defeat of the Russians allowed the entry of the toxic doctrine and practice of communism which in the name of international unity brought terror and death to untold millions and environmental ruin to a potentially productive land. Lenin's utopia was based on the fallacy that with the potential of science human life and nature could be changed. In his definitive work "State and Revolution" a communist society would have no police or army and his rational economy would operate without money, property or state system.

Following the example of the Soviet Union, China too embarked on a Marxian disaster, the Great Leap Forward (1958-1960). The Enlightenment concept of subduing nature for the greater good of mankind was put into

effect never with more enthusiasm or with such cataclysmic results as in Mao's China. In the industrialisation of China's age-old peasant smallholding agriculture the world had another spectacle of an appalling 30 million death toll. The denial of the very ancient traditions of Chinese life by Mao's totalitarianism was just another example of the misapplication of a Western Enlightenment experiment in social engineering.

China with its strongly rooted traditions of familial economy has withstood the punishment of this Western Utopian import and the reforms now being carefully engineered there encompass a working market-economy but one under Chinese national control.

The new capitalisms mushrooming in eastern Asia differ from the capitalism of the West in that they are not seated in a dogmatic philosophy such as that displayed by Anglo-American capitalism or Marxist communism. The new capitalisms of China, Korea and Taiwan are pragmatic approaches to economics blended with the racial and religious persuasions from which they spring. They are not immune from the dangers of economic crisis or downturn and they have certainly not avoided the malpractice of ecological damage which we in the West introduced to the planet but it appears that however greedily they have swallowed the economic lessons of Western capitalism there is a determination to preserve the cohesion of their traditional ethnic societies. They are displaying nationalist economics.

Globalisation, if we define it as the spread of economic deregulation and new industrial technologies fired by the unrestricted mobility of capital world-wide, has been the 20th century economic experience of the United States and the United Kingdom beyond all



other countries.

New Zealand which embraced global laissez-faire is now to its cost locked in a situation where deregulation of labour markets and the abolition of all universal social services have created a poverty trap and a loss of social cohesion which did not exist before.

In Mexico too the effects of Americanisation and market reform have left that country with a troubled future, its prospects depending very largely on illegal emigration across the Rio Grande and a growing dependence on the narcotics trade similar in extent to Columbia. It should not be forgotten that Mexico is very much the odd-man-out in this context. As an ethnically half-caste nation, with the threat of "Montezuma's Revenge" more pressing by the decade, Mexicans have nothing of the individualistic inheritance which characterises the other Anglo-American bearers of the laissez-faire impulse, and Mexico is very much a creature of the United States.

What is patently clear about the effects of globalisation throughout the world is that local activities and relationships are being destroyed. In a world where profit is the sole reigning sovereign, local potentates surrender their titles. There is no longer anything which is country specific. Consumer goods, stocks and shares, and even labour itself, are all slipping out of local and national control.

The once proudly varied personalities of Europe's nations are being inexorably misshaped by the influence of America. China which has survived the Cultural Revolution when millions died for the Marxist myth has seen the traditional land-holding peasantry sucked into the cities and factories of the new world order to participate in global laissez-faire. However as the present world depression gathers pace the cities of China are losing their recently acquired industrial serfs who are returning to their rural origins.

India views with alarm its traditional caste and marriage system seriously

challenged by the new world order which has lured its Hindu soul into the levelling arena of globalisation.

Never before has capital and the volume of world trade advanced at such a pace as it has in the last few decades. Investors are diversifying their holdings of bonds and equities on a global scale. Transactions in foreign exchange markets have now hit the mind-numbing daily total of \$1.8 trillion. When we contemplate the present financial world crash one is reminded of the old boxing adage, "The bigger they are the harder they fall".

There is something of a paradox revealed when we contemplate the fact that globalisation, whose exponents promise to uplift the living standards of the poorest populations of the world, seeks out wherever the cheapest sources of labour can be found in deference to the first grinding principle of business. The rise in the standard of living which has accompanied the explosion of laissez-faire in the last two decades in the

Developing World is the spin-off from the debt-fuelled import of cheap goods by the Developed World, the awful consequences of which profligacy in the latter are now being felt this very year.

The future for the benighted toiling masses of the World depends on the viability of the purchasing nations of the Developed World whose future is now in doubt. China has the advantage of a mammoth credit balance with Britain and America. How this will be used is known only to the inscrutable Chinese.

The last two decades of prodigal spending on the part of the Anglo-American consensus has been built on fictitious money, credit built on debt which few but the unrecognised Jeremiahs publicised. The disintegrating global market will transmit instability to every economy in the world and introduce an era of conflicting nationalist and fundamentalist regimes as the diverse communities struggle with a situation governed by acutely diminishing world resources of every kind.

When the conspiracy of silence, with spates of misrepresentation, which presently surrounds the British National Party is lifted with its new recognition as an electoral force, it will be revealed in answer to Her Majesty, Queen Elizabeth's question "Did nobody see this coming?" that this journal has been forecasting the current catastrophe for the last half decade.

It was exposed on British National Party News that Primark, a leading cheap clothes outlet in the UK has been supplied by a sweatshop clothing factory in Manchester employing illegal immigrants at half the British minimum wage. The distinct benefit for the immigrant entrepreneur arose from the fact that based in the UK his goods deliveries were immediate and his freight costs minimal. The pattern is emerging

therefore, that, with frontiers collapsing round the world, globalisation is bringing into being a world employment situation where cheap labour can export itself to where it is most needed and take, additional to wages, advantage of the social and medical benefits available in the Developed World.

Chinese graduates and Polish fruit-pickers will be happy to accept lower wages than indigenous Britons, thus economic migration will only hasten the demise of the British people. We have been witnessing for some time the practice of Japanese and Korean industry relocating their factories to the UK to benefit from the cheaper wage levels in Wales and elsewhere compared with those in their own countries. For the unskilled members of the industrial workforce here in Britain this is an alarming prospect. For the skilled it is scarcely less so since Asian economies are training both graduate and technological workers at a phenomenal rate.

Only the BNP has had the insight to draw attention to what will yet be the explosive issue in labour relations here given the intensity of the present economic crisis. It passes understanding how Gordon Brown could with one breath produce the poached headline "British Jobs for British Workers" and with another parade his attachment to globalisation and the EU.

If the trade union organisations in this country were not so blinded with the pursuit of self-service they would perceive that global free trade and international capital movements have rendered their bargaining power practically nil. In face of the waves of unemployment threatening British workers today the Trade Union Movement here is as much use as a man on his day off in helping British workers,

and deadly dangerous to those self-same workers in promoting the interests of an increasing non-British labour force. The recent wild-cat strikes are only the warning shots in what can become a deadly civil upheaval.

The global free market has done nothing to dissolve sovereign states. In the face of vanishing world-wide natural resources and exponentially growing Third World populations, already dealt with over the last few years in this journal, particularly by Dr. William Stanton, the vicious rivalries being engendered between nations in the pursuit of ever diminishing resources can only explode into wars.

The Enlightenment myth of Globalisation held that a beneficent world order could be brought about by setting Man free from the regulations which prior western societies had enshrined in religion, traditions and economic practice. However, Globalisation, which is the rapid infection of every corner of the Earth by western technologies, will not appreciate that wherever the technologies settle down western values fail to follow.

This is the crux of the matter: western technologies will not convert Africans, Chinese, Indians, or any other non-Europeans to western moral or cultural perceptions; rather will these technologies be employed to the material advantage of the disparate racial and religious communities which have absorbed them.

Each people, each civilisation is unique, constituting an organic biological reality, permeated with a life of its own. This then is why Globalisation is even now in the process of creating, rather than a dream-world economic unity, a range of anarchic capitalisms which will yet produce world war of unimaginable enormity.

If you contain a flock of sheep in a field and gradually increase their number you will inevitably reach a point where the field simply cannot support the burden placed upon it and, as a consequence, the field becomes overgrazed and the entire flock suffers! With that thought foremost in mind we proceed to the body of our article.

According to Government agencies and independent demographers, Britain is about to witness unprecedented population growth over the next twenty-five years, with estimates suggesting an increase of between five to ten millions. Early last year an updated version of the United Nations annual "World Population Prospects" report predicted that Britain's population will reach 72.3 million by 2050, compared to 61.5 million presently, growth fuelled almost

entirely by immigration.

With the birth rate of indigenous Britons barely at replacement level, this growth will almost entirely be due to a combination of continuing mass immigration and births within colonist communities established here. We would refer sceptics to the websites of the Office of National Statistics (ONS) and Migration Watch UK, where detailed information confirming these facts may be found.

The impact of population growth is already manifesting itself in many undesirable ways. Quite apart from the growing pressure on housing, education, health services, employment, social welfare, water supply, law and order, energy generation, traffic congestion and landfill sourcing, we have environmental destruction on an unparalleled scale.


That is, the destruction of irreplaceable agricultural and green belt land for the additional roads, reservoirs, factories and housing estates needed to facilitate Government immigration folly.

The estimated two million new homes will blight huge swathes of our countryside and increase urban congestion, as formerly residential gardens make way for blocks of flats. For some years now the availability of affordable housing in both the private and public sectors, has been drying up, with demand constantly exceeding supply. As a consequence rents and mortgages have risen beyond the reach of many, even those in employment and even before the so-called "Credit Crunch."

This is a situation arguably more common in rural districts than in urban

The Curse of Overpopulation

By Clive Wakley



ones. It is also a problem that adversely affects young couples in particular, resulting in many having to live at home with their parents. Yet despite the obvious fact that the millions of colonists and their descendents here, in our country, need accommodation, and despite the equally obvious fact that demand thus created has had a massive impact on affordable home availability, the succession of Labour and Tory governments have failed to take the obvious and necessary step of halting further immigration. Although not the entire solution in itself, such a move would undoubtedly reduce the growth in the affordable housing deficit and with it the cost of home purchases and rentals.

But rather than take this sensible step, the current Labour regime has announced that it wants to progress its

insane mass house-building programme. Let's not kid ourselves that these homes are for our sons and daughters - they are not! Clearly they will go overwhelmingly to the estimated five to ten million colonists the government anticipates will arrive at our ports of entry over the period in question!

There is, of course, a rather obvious problem with this proposal from an environmental perspective. Two million new homes require an awful lot of building land. Although a significant proportion of these homes can be built on brown field sites in urban areas, the inescapable fact remains that the majority of them will have to be constructed on green field sites. This inevitably means the destruction of tens of thousands of acres of countryside, including good agricultural land, and the flora and fauna

this land sustains.

Only two years ago the Labour regime put pressure on local authorities in the West Midlands to cajole them into approving plans for the building of some 500,000 new homes in that region between now and 2028. Half a million new homes in an already highly urbanised region such as the West Midlands is going to have a devastating effect on the remaining countryside. How can it be otherwise?

But should we sacrifice our land to facilitate the destruction of our countryside and, in turn, work towards the dispossession of our children and grandchildren of their homeland? Anyone having the slightest concern for the well being of his or her nation must surely answer in the negative! If nothing else, commonsense dictates that we must





slam the door firmly shut on immigration and provide affordable accommodation for our own people, and then, as far as is possible, only on brown field sites.

In other words, we deliver on the British National Party's "Sons & Daughters" home building policy - affordable homes for our people and not for those foreigners having not the slightest ties to this country.

By following that road not only do we preserve our irreplaceable countryside from unnecessary and unwanted destruction but we also provide affordable homes for our own people, whilst protecting our ever-declining quality of life from the dire environmental consequences of overpopulation.

Having discussed, to a limited extent, the impact of colonisation on housing provision, now let's briefly consider its impact in respect of a number of other

issues. The following is by no means an exhaustive list.

TRAFFIC CONGESTION

As every vehicle owner, particularly, in England's frequently grid locked London and Southeast regions will know, traffic congestion is increasingly making everyone's life a misery. This being particularly so during the "rush hour" - a nonsensical term, under the circumstances, which brings the old saying of "more haste, less speed" singularly and forcefully to mind!

It's not just the sheer frustration of being stuck in traffic and being late for work (again) that is the problem. It is also the inevitable cost of burning expensive fuel whilst held-up in yet another traffic jam and the associate detrimental effects to both human and environmental health arising from exhaust emissions. Indeed, it

seems reasonable to claim that the annual cost to industry and commerce of staff arriving late for work, due to traffic congestion, must be hugely significant.

To state the obvious, every new colonist arriving in this grossly overcrowded country of ours is a potential vehicle user. Yet with millions of colonists expected to settle here over the next twenty years, the problem of traffic congestion and resulting pollution, can only get worse - a great deal worse in fact. Where is the sense in that? Furthermore, a more blatant example of Government hypocrisy would be hard to find. At a time when the Government bombards us with erroneous TV propaganda advertisements telling us to "Act on CO2", a campaign having the objective of getting motorists to reduce their mileage by five miles per week, they allow thousands of potential - if not actual - immigrant motorists in each

month to add to our national CO2 footprint!

The Government, predictably, appear to believe they can alleviate the ever increasing problem of traffic congestion by building yet more roads and widening existing ones. Yes, of course they can - up to a point. Yet they appear blind to the obvious fact that this country does not have an inexhaustible supply of land to facilitate an endless programme of road provision to alleviate ever-worsening traffic congestion caused, in no small part, by an endless inflow of colonists! Furthermore, the Government's road building "solution" comes at a price to both human and environmental health, a price no rational person should be prepared to pay

For some years now it has been recognised that Britain is simply running

out of suitable "big holes in the ground" in which to dump the tens of millions of tons of rubbish we create as a country every year.

LANDFILL PROVISION

As our population is artificially increased through colonisation, so is the volume of rubbish produced each year and with it the related problem of disposal. Put bluntly, whereas we have an almost infinite capacity for rubbish creation we have a most limited capacity in terms of landfill site availability. Furthermore, it is not simply a question of digging more and bigger holes in the countryside. Landfill sites have to be carefully chosen, if for no other reasons than to prevent ground water contamination and the leaching of toxic substances into the

environment. There are, of course, a great many other environmental issues to consider which space prevents us from listing presently.

Indeed, so acute has the problem of rubbish disposal become that some local authorities, particularly in London and the Southeast, have even resorted to exporting household garbage in giant "dustbin" ships to the Far East and other parts of the world, for disposal! As you may recall, it is only a matter of months since Land & People reported on the scandal of the illegal exportation of toxic waste, including that from hospitals, to Brazil. Meanwhile, other local authorities have been investing in giant incinerators, despite the potentially grave environmental threats arising from carcinogenic material known to vent from their supposedly "clean" exhaust



stacks!

Yet, according to the ONS, the number of households in England is projected to increase to 27.8 million by 2031 and this is set to have a significant impact on local authority waste management planning. Their figures indicate that the East Midlands, South West, East and Yorkshire & Humber regions are all projected to grow (in terms of number of households) by at least 30%.

As a matter of record, a spokesman for the Regional Waste Technical Advisory Board's (RWTAB) Yorkshire & Humber Committee has stated:

"The growth in housing numbers is significant for municipal waste management - as perhaps the biggest impact on forecasted levels of waste tonnage arising is the number of households in an area. In particular, the last five years have seen unprecedented levels of activity in the UK economy and consequential inward migration, and this has also coincided with the accession states joining the EU. These variables will certainly change significantly over the next few years. However, it is true to say that demographic change, including higher birth rates in some parts of the country and smaller average households size will lead to a natural increase in housing demand" and rubbish generation."

The story is the same where sewerage treatment is concerned. London and other major cities have ageing sewerage disposal systems that are already struggling to cope with the demands placed on them. These waste removal systems feed sewerage plants that were never designed to cope with current demand and which have a limited capacity in terms of expansion. The "answer" the Government would have us believe, is to build bigger sewers and

construct even larger sewerage treatment plants; the latter requiring a considerable amount of land away from urban areas!

In the final analysis Britain's capacity for rubbish and sewerage generation is directly linked to population size. As a rule of thumb, the greater the population, the greater the volume of waste produced requiring safe and effective disposal. Conversely, halting colonising immigration not only serves to curtail such growth but also buys us valuable time in which to develop more effective means of disposing of our waste.

ENERGY GENERATION

According to prevailing wisdom, Britain needs to reduce its carbon footprint and develop clean green energy generation technologies. Now avoiding the temptation to get further embroiled in the "man-made" climate change scam let's consider one such scheme currently under active consideration by the Government.

The largest clean energy generation scheme proposal on the drawing board at the present time is that of the Severn Barrage. This, it is claimed, could produce 5% of Britain's current annual energy needs. Put another way, should this barrage be constructed and should it achieve its stated performance in terms of energy generation, then it will meet the electricity requirements of around three million consumers. This development comes at a hefty price, said to be between £10 and £23 billion, to which we must add the intangible cost of the destruction of unique and internationally important wildlife habitats along the Severn estuary.

Now consider this: Every year our population experiences a catastrophic net gain of around 250,000 due largely to unnecessary and unwanted immigration. Projecting this annualised figure over the

ten-year construction period suggests that our net growth in population will be around 2.5 million people.

Yet, if the Government were to deport 50,000 illegal immigrants - people having no legal or moral right to be in our country - per annum, and halted immigration for the same ten year period, the overall effect would be to reduce the growth in electricity demand by around 3 million consumers!

Put another way, the Severn Barrage, potentially Britain's largest green energy generation project, is only capable of producing enough electricity to meet the increased demand arising from another decade of continuing legal and illegal immigration! It will do little to reduce current demand in terms of consumer numbers, despite expenditure of up to £23 billion and the destruction of irreplaceable estuary habitats! Is colonising immigration worth such sacrifices?

WATER PROVISIONING

Only a few years ago both London and the Southeast were plagued by water shortages, resulting in restrictions on usage being imposed through hosepipe bans and the introduction of standpipes. Although "changing weather patterns" was the excuse offered for the drought, it is population growth in these regions, substantially that arising from colonising immigration that was to blame. Put bluntly, London and the Southeast (and other regions) simply do not have the water resources to maintain huge and growing populations under all circumstances. Yet instead of addressing the cause of the problem - immigration fuelled overpopulation - the Government has instead chosen to tinker with the symptoms. To that end they are encouraging water companies to build



new reservoirs to service Greater London, the towns of the Southeast and the Thames Valley. The largest of these will be gouged out of the beautiful and historic Vale of the White Horse in Berkshire at a cost to the taxpayer and consumer of millions of pounds.

Yet, if it were not for colonising immigration, the populations of Greater London and the towns of the Southeast and Thames Valley would be stable or, more likely, in slow decline; meaning that their water requirements would either be stable or falling, thereby making the construction of new reservoirs unnecessary. As it is, the Vale of the White Horse is to be sacrificed for no other reason than to provide the water that the growing immigration-fuelled populations of these urbanised regions need. Does anyone seriously believe that such sacrifice is warranted?

CONCLUSION

Britain is overpopulated and becoming increasingly so. That it has, for the last half century, been a matter of covert Governmental policy cannot be reasonably denied. The world has many examples of the effects of overpopulation - one needs only look at India, China, Brazil or Bangladesh to see the relationship between overpopulation and poverty, disease, despair and environmental pollution. That overpopulation is a curse is a fact that has long been recognised by societies around the globe. The question remains then, why, despite these manifest examples, have a succession of Tory and Labour regimes sought to inflict this curse upon the British people? The answer to that question, we have no doubt, will be sought from cringing politicians, in the fullness of time, by historians and prosecuting council in courts of law.

WHITES BECOME AN ETHNIC MINORITY

Speakers' Corner

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 1WT, England. E-mail: identity@bnp.org.uk

Identity is Free To Members

Thank you for sending my second issue of Identity magazine which, like the first, proved to be full of most illuminating articles.

Let me, as an octogenarian with a lifelong experience of various aspects of design, congratulate everyone in the production team for what is an example of good reading matter. The typeface is well chosen, the line spacing is particularly comfortable for the reader, the printing is faultless and the use of a fresh colourful cover for each copy adds much interest and is suitable for attracting new readership.

I am wondering why I have been chosen to receive this very prestigious publication without payment, when in fact I would be perfectly happy to make an annual subscription for the pleasure of having it. *(Every BNP member now receives a copy of Identity as part of their membership subscription. Pass your copy on to non-members and tell them that they too can receive ID for a year for a subscription of £24 - Editor).*

Finally, in younger days when as a committee member of The Freedom Association, the so-called right wing of the Conservative Party, which was later infiltrated and dispersed by the present liberal hierarchy, I had as one of my mentors a very successful academic scholar and businessman who used to spell out for me in great detail, exactly what Peter Mills has outlined in his article 'The Grey Executives Club'. This article is so important that it ought to be

on permanent display on the coffee table of every home in the land.

Ivan J. Haycock MSIA(SA)
e-mail

Bilderburg Group Conspiracy



The article 'The Grey Executive's Club' by Peter Mills published in Identity issue 102 was potent and essentially enlightening. In view of the current adverse development of British society engendered by the puppeteers that control the charlatans and sycophants who masquerade as our leaders, this article was a must.

This secret control over our society by the affluent selfish, greedy and the debased exposes the alleged democratic British society to be nothing more than a plutocracy: a governing system controlled by the minority rich. In Peter Mills's article we are informed that this megalomaniac concept began in May 1954.

The original meeting of the Bilderberg might have taken place then but it would seem that this was merely a process of continuation that had persisted

at least since the time of Christ under different guises.

The destruction of opposition to their megalomania gave rise to Christianity as Christ stood against them two thousand years ago. The ensuing fight against these psychotics was seen as a fight against *evil*: this is what the British National Party is up against today. The driving force behind the destructive insanity of these people has been and is the chief cause of the miseries inflicted upon humankind throughout history. They have remained invisible for long enough. Now you can identify your real foe. Well done Mr Mills!

Phillip White
Cardiff

Full Policy Programme



I am pleased to note that the BNP has recognised the need to offer a full policy programme for the General Election. The campaign to bring our troops home from Afghanistan is a great start in this direction.

Voters already know our stance on immigration, now we must counter the

propaganda of our opponents that we are just a single issue party obsessed with race (*Unfortunately, that is how the establishment media that serves the Lib-Lab-Con will continue in trying to present us - Editor*).

We must stress our policies on the economy, law and order, health, education, taxation, Europe, defence and foreign policy in general. Voters want to know how unemployment can be tackled, and this is where the BNP comes into its own by stressing our commitment to Economic Nationalism: a Buy British policy, Import Controls and British Jobs for British Workers.

Ian Harvey
Enfield

Anglo Saxon/Celtic Alliance

There are more and more people from all around the world logging onto the BNP website - especially from the US and former Commonwealth countries. They all appear to be very concerned about the 'old country' even though they have all bugged out to various temporary safe havens. Maybe they are even a little bit guilty at leaving us to it. They rightly see us as the only hope of a return to sanity in this world.

What if the BNP set up an Anglo-Saxon/Celtic Alliance - controlled by the BNP but open for all people of British/Anglo-Saxon decent around the world to join? It is clear that every white Anglo is currently beginning to realise how outnumbered we really are and also how the deliberate covert Marxist undermining of us is starting to make us universally hated everywhere.

On the other hand in most countries in the world, nothing would actually happen or indeed would work without us

being there to sort problems out. This could be the start of a kind of free Union for our racial group. Attack one of us - you attack all of us - and we many even withdraw our labour/services from that country/area. In addition it is bound to produce an *income*. The centre of the organisation's operations for legal purposes can be anywhere that bullshit 'equality' style laws do not function.

Steve

Full name and address supplied

Spot The Difference



What is the difference between the following and me? Me, born in England of English parents, grandparents and great grandparents, worked and paid into the system from the age of 15 to 65. Now a pensioner but still working part time and still paying income tax at basic rate.

Them, Abu Qatada, Omar Bakri (now in Lebanon), Abu Hamza (now in jail) and Anjem Choudary just a sample of those who live off of me and people like me, yet chant hatred at me and mine.

I am fed up with this system of isolating me and mine yet does absolutely nothing to those who see me as the enemy. The government appears to do nothing. Try landing in Saudi Arabia without a confirmed passport and visa to stay before you are put on the next plane out of the country. Try going to a church in Saudi Arabia (if you could find one) in

the same way that we have to tolerate here the mosques appearing everywhere.

Oh, I nearly forgot. The difference is *they* are all receiving more money to live on than me and do not have to go to work - just collect, collect and carry on collecting.

C. E. Harvey
E-mail

Ignoring Chinese Pollution



Greenpeace campaigns vigorously to close down factories in the West - because they may occasionally release a gram or two of pollution and have the occasional personnel accident. Often the outcome is that the companies then relocate to China. But in China the factories can release pollutants by hundreds of tonnes and maim and kill workers with impunity.

The net result of the Greenpeace high profile campaigns is that world pollution has increased dramatically, while worker safety has been reduced to pre-Victorian levels. Over 6,000 Chinese coal miners die every year because of Greenpeace policy. Meanwhile, British and other Western workers are unemployed, unhappy and committing suicide in desperation.

<http://www.clb.org.hk/en/node/19316>

Rod Elliot
Shrewsbury



Exclusive Invitation

Life Membership

You can help your party and your country by signing up to our exclusive LIFE membership plan. You can start to enjoy the benefits of becoming a LIFE member with a very special price of only £495 TODAY! No more renewals, no more fuss, just a once in a lifetime payment of £495, that's it!

When you join today we will send you one of these exclusive bespoke BNP watches, superb quality and styling, only for LIFE members.

Take advantage of this exclusive once in a lifetime opportunity by calling **0207 078 3269** TODAY!

Come on, what are you waiting for?
Call us now on **0207 078 3269**.



JOIN TODAY

FOR JUST

£495

**And receive this
Limited Edition
exclusive F.S.I.D
party watch with
inscribed face.**

Call on 0207 078 3269



Watches May Vary

Enjoy the many benefits of a LIFE membership with the British National Party, one of the most important investments that you can ever make.

By becoming a LIFE member of the BNP you will not only have priority access to many party events, you will also be making a lifelong contribution in our struggle to save our country, our way of life and our British identity.

As a LIFE member you are showing the lead to others in our quest to reclaim our beloved nation from those who wish to destroy everything British and our island race.

LIFE Membership Includes the Following Benefits

- FREE** top quality, exclusive, engraved wristwatch his or hers.
- FREE** exclusive LIFE MEMBER pin badge to wear with pride and dignity.
- FREE** lifelong subscription to new *Identity Magazine* 64pp. (£4.95)
- FREE** lifelong 'annual party reports'. (£6.95)
- FREE** complimentary copies of the party's magazine *Hope and Glory*.
- FREE** prestigious LIFE MEMBER certificate parchment scroll for framing.
- FREE** limited edition 8x10 signed picture of Party Chairman Nick Griffin MEP.

In addition to this incredible range of benefits, LIFE MEMBERS have the knowledge that THEY are supporting the party as we work towards building a secured future for our loved ones and our nation.

TITLE: ☐ Dr ☐ Rev ☐ Mr ☐ Mrs ☐ Miss ☐ Ms Current Mem No:

FIRST NAME: LAST NAME:

HOUSE N°: STREET:

TOWN: POSTCODE:

TEL N°: DATE OF BIRTH: / /

E-MAIL:

Pay by Cheque or Postal Order

☐ I enclose my cheque for £495 (payable to 'British Heritage' or 'BNP')

Pay by Credit or Debit Card

☐ Please debit my card for the LIFE MEMBERSHIP offer £495

Visa ☐ Delta ☐ Maestro ☐ Mastercard ☐ Amex ☐

Card Number

Valid From Expiry Date Security Code Issue N° if Maestro

☐ Please tick here if you want to receive your monthly members' bulletin by e-mail.

☐ NO, I do not want to take advantage of the exclusive LIFE MEMBERSHIP offer.





STANDING ORDERS - The Best Way to Help the Party Grow

Fill in
and
return
the
form
below
within
the next
7 days

STANDING ORDER FORM

Please complete the
details on this form and
return to:

PO Box 5057, Nuneaton,
CV11 9FP

Please use the
envelope provided.

Fill out using BLOCK CAPITALS

TITLE: ☐ Dr ☐ Rev ☐ Mr ☐ Mrs ☐ Miss ☐ Ms

FIRST NAME:

LAST NAME:

HOUSE N°: STREET:

TOWN:

CITY:

POSTCODE:

TEL N°:

DATE OF BIRTH:

E-MAIL:

YES! I want to make a monthly gift to 'British
Heritage' of £12.00 or £ each month
until further notice and debit my account below:

ACCOUNT NUMBER:

SORT CODE: - -

STARTING ON: / /

Please allow at least one month between signing
this form and the date of the first payment

Signature

TODAY'S DATE

/ /

TO: The Manager (Bank name and address)

POSTCODE:

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY: British Heritage

POST BACK TO US - NOT YOUR BANK

EXCALIBUR

This Little Britain: How One Small Country Changed the Modern World (Harry Bingham)




Britain has a lot to be proud about. British medical and public health innovations — vaccination, integrated mains sewerage, antiseptic surgery — have saved far more lives than all other medical innovations put together. The world speaks our language. Our scientists have won vast numbers of Nobel Prizes and the world speaks our language. This book tells how it happened.

H/C, 384pp. £15.49 inc. p&p.

A Miscellany of Britain (Tom O'Meara). A snapshot of all the great things which Britain has contributed to the world, from science to history and culture. Read about Winston Churchill, Dolly the sheep, sports which dominate the world, the Sunday Roast, famous places and customs. An essential guide to all that is British.


H/C 192pp. £8.39 inc. p&p.



A Nation of Immigrants? A Brief Demographic History of Britain (David Conway)

Using genetic, archaeological and historical evidence, this book shows that the British people are NOT a "nation of immigrants" but a highly homogenous group with roots going back millennia. S/C 104 pp. £9.00

The Immigration Invasion: How Third World Immigration is Destroying the First World and What Must be Done to Stop It (Arthur Kemp). A shocking book detailing the full extent of the Third World immigration wave which is swamping the Western World. Shows that, if left unchecked, all of the First World will be overrun within the next 50 years. S/C 140 pp. £13.14 inc. p&p.



Of British Blood and British Earth (J R Bell). This book seeks to explain and justify the need for the maintenance of racial and cultural identity. It advocates the union of white Commonwealth countries, while advancing a means by which this might be achieved.

S/C 192pp. £13.94 inc. p&p.




Londonistan: How Britain Is Creating a Terror State Within (Melanie Phillips). *The Times* bestseller, now with a new chapter. Reveals how Britain has become the hub for Islamist terror throughout Europe and beyond. Details the Islamification of London which is the direct result of mass Third World immigration.

P/B 336pp. £10.79 inc. p&p.

Spitfire: Portrait of a Legend (Leo McKinstry). The true story of the famous Vickers Supermarine Spitfire and its designer, R J Mitchell. Prize-winning historian Leo McKinstry's vivid history of the Spitfire brings together a rich cast of characters and first hand testimonies. It is a tale full of drama and heroism, of glory and tragedy.

P/B, 432pp. £10.79 inc. p&p.




The Legacy of the Viking Ragnar Lothbrok (Christopher Robinson)

An exciting historically accurate novel based on the story of Ragnar Lothbrok and his sons, a Viking family who influenced both British and European history during the Age of the Vikings. Written by BNP super activist and former candidate.

S/C, 184pp. £9.54 inc. p&p.

Our Empire Story (H E Marshall). A faithful reprint of the 1908 classic, exclusive to Excalibur. Written in the colourful and extremely non politically correct style of its time, *Our Empire Story* is an unashamedly pro-British book and is filled with stories of high drama, humour and tragedy. 387 pp. paperback £19.14 inc. P&P




White Gold: The Extraordinary Story of Thomas Pellow and North Africa's One Million European Slaves (Giles Milton)

The incredible true story of Cornishman Thomas Pellow, one of the 1 million whites abducted into slavery by North African Muslims during the 17th and 18th centuries. Pellow was one of the few who survived to tell his tale.

S/C 316 pp. £9.59 inc. p&p.

Jihad: Islam's 1,300 Year War on Western Civilisation (Arthur Kemp)

Puts the current crisis into historical perspective, showing that instead of being a "religion of peace," violent Islam has, in fact, been waging war on all its self-appointed opponents since its inception. Highly recommended. S/C 72 pp. £9.45 inc. p&p.



Cheques/Postal Orders payable to "Excalibur"

Order by mail from Excalibur, P.O. Box 158, Deeside, CH5 2WW

Online at <https://excalibur.bnp.org.uk>

Or by telephone at 0871 0500 242



TRAFALGAR CLUB

0207 078 8839



The Trafalgar Club is an exclusive dining club for supporters of the British National Party. You don't have to be a member of the BNP to belong to the Trafalgar Club, so it is a perfect way to stay anonymous but still support the cause.

Members of the Trafalgar Club are held in high esteem by the party and our members. The Trafalgar Club fulfils an important function and contribution to the cause.

The Trafalgar Club has now been officially re-launched, revamped and completely overhauled. The party has invested heavily in re-launching the Trafalgar Club under the new Club Secretary who works full time to ensure the highest degree of service and the best possible experience for Trafalgar Club members.

RE-LAUNCH



Exclusive Dining Club

ENGLAND EXPECTS